## Minutes of the 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA (16-03-2011)

The 53<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2011 at 2.30 P. M. at the Authority's office in M.P. Pollution Control Board Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal chaired by Shri Amar Singh, Chairman SEIAA.

The following members attended the meeting:-

- 1. Shri M. Hashim, Member
- 2. Shri Praveen Garg, Member Secretary

## A. Cases recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance

1. *Case no. 556/10,* M/s Vikas Marbles, Pradeep Jain, 810, Nepier town, Jabalpur-M.P., Marble mine 6.11 hect. at village Chapra, Teh-Bahoriband, Distt- Katni-M.P.

The above case was considered in 76th meeting of SEAC dt 07-01-2011 and recommended for grant of Prior Environmental Clearance

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed following issue;

- i. Executive summary is not submitted.
- ii. Attested/notarized copy of Mining Lease and latest copy of Khasra are not submitted.
- iii. Permission of competent authority to extract 13 KLD/Day underground water.

Hence, it was decided by the Authority to write to the Project Proponent to submit above mentioned documents duly certified/ notarized by 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2011.

 Case no 573/10, M/s Jain Mines & Minerals India Pvt Ltd, 127/1, Sangam Colony, Baldeobagh, Jabalpur-M.P, Iron ore Beneficiation Plant of capacity 90,000 TPA at Hargarh Industrial Area, Teh-Sihora, Distt-Jabalpur-M.P.

The above case was considered in 76th meeting of SEAC dt 07-01-2011 and recommended for grant of Prior Environmental Clearance

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that the Project Proponent has not submitted certified/notarized copy of mining lease agreement.

It was also observed by the Authority that public hearing has not been done in this case, as public consultation is mandatory as per Gol, MoEF Notification dt 14-09-2006. Hence it was decided by the Authority to write to Member Secretary, MPPCB to conduct public hearing for the proposed case within prescribed time. Letter to Project Proponent, is to be sent to submit certified/notarized copy of mining lease agreement and latest certified/notarized copy of Khasra . After public consultation the proponent will resubmit final EIA report and also Executive summary to the SEIAA by 30-06-2011. After this the EIA report and other documents will be sent to the SEAC for a fresh appraisal.

3. **Case no 575/10,** M/s Namokar Specialty Chemicals (P) Ltd (Unit-II), B-82-MIG Colony, A B Road Indore-M.P., Bulk Drugs Unit at Plot No. 825-826 Industrial Estate Sector 3- Vi, Teh-Pithampur, Distt-Dhar-M.P.

The above case was considered in 76th meeting of SEAC dt 07-01-2011 and recommended for grant of Prior Environmental Clearance.

In view of MoEF GoI, Office Memorandum dt 13-01-2010 the Authority decided to write to Collector, Dhar to know distance of plot no. 825-826 industrial Estate, Sector 3- Vi, Teh-Pithampur, Distt-Dhar from limit of Indore Municipal Corporation and also Rau Industrial area separately by 30<sup>th</sup> April 2011.

4. **Case no 582/10,** Kailash Jain Chouradiya, HIG-11, Deendayalpuram, Civil lines, Balaghat-M.P., Dolomite mine 15.08 Ha. at village Dulahapur, Teh-Katangi, Distt-Balaghat-M.P.

The above case was considered in 76th meeting of SEAC 07-01-2011. In this meeting of SEAC, it recorded that, neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc nor did to explain discrepancy /gap if find any during the deliberation despite of several opportunities . It appears that the PP is not interested to continue with the activity, hence committee decided to return the case to SEIAA for delisting .

After consideration of SEAC recommendation, the Authority summarily closes the case.

5. Case no 603/10, SVS Buildcon Pvt Ltd, 56-58, Community centre, East of Kailash, New Delhi, Commercial project at Bairagarh Chichli, Kolar Road, Bhopal

SEAC has recommended this project for issue of prior EC subject to certain conditions.

SEIAA while deliberating on this case noticed an anomaly about the name of project proponent. This is a proposed commercial project located at Bairagarh Chhichli, Kolar Road, Bhopal, which was submitted for prior EC by M/S SVS Buildcon Pvt.Ltd, New Delhi, received on 29/11/2010 and sent to SEAC for its expert opinion. Then on 13/12/2010 M/S Khanuja Properties Pvt. Ltd. submitted a revised Form I, Form I (A) and conceptual plan for the same land substituting the name of the initial project proponent by its name which was considered by SEAC and recommended for prior EC.

SEIAA examined this case in detail and it found that when M/S SVS Buildcon Pvt.Ltd. submitted the project for prior EC, the necessary documents were in the name of M/S Khanuja Properties Pvt.Ltd, for example,

- (a) Rin pustika showing the ownership of the land
- (b) Permission from the office of Joint Director, T&CP, Distt. Bhopal-Sehore.
- (c) Building Permission from Nagar Palika Council, Kolar, Distt. Bhopal.
- (d) Permission for water connection from Nagar Palika Council, Kolar, Distt. Bhopal.

SEIAA observed that the procedure followed by the project proponent was irregular as the case started in the name of M/S SVS Buildcon Pvt.Ltd. which is a corporate entity under the Companies Act, and a different corporate entity M/S Khanuja Properties Pvt. substituted its name in place of the first corporate entity. The correct legal procedure should have been to with-draw the case by the first project proponent, i.e, M/s SVS Buildcon Pvt. Ltd and a new case submitted by the second legal entity i.e. M/s Khanuja Properties Pvt. Ltd. Hence, SEIAA decided to close the case of M/S SVS Buildcon Pvt.Ltd. The proponent is free to apply a fresh in the prescribed format.

6. *Case no 616/10,* Shri Satpal Singh Channa, 5, Bhagal Colony, Makaprpura Road, Baroda, AZO Pigment manufacturing plant & dyes intermediate, Plot No 105, A, Industrial Area, Meghnagar, Jhabua-M.P.

The case was considered in 76th meeting of SEAC dt 07-01-2011 and in this meeting of SEAC it recorded that neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy, gap if find any during the deliberation. Examination of the papers also revealed that PP has submitted the application form with out signature hence SEAC has decided to return the case to SEIAA for further necessary action in this matter.

The Authority saw the original application received on 21.12.10 and found that it is not signed by the Project Proponent. Hence, it was decided by the Authority to summarily close the case.

- **B.** The following case were considered in 76th meeting of SEAC dt 07-01-2011 and recommended for grant of Prior Environmental Clearance.
- 1. **Case no. 567/10,** Shri Bhupesh Sanghvi, Director, M/s Raju Hoarding Pvt Ltd, 15-16, jawahar Marg, Indore-M.P, The residential complex (Sanghvi-Residency) at village –Bicholi Mardana, Teh-&Distt-Indore-M.P
- 2. **Case no. 569/10,** M/s Ashoka Hi-Tech Builders, Pvt Ltd, 501, Corporate house, 6th Floor, 169, RNT, Marg-Indore-M.P., Highrise residential complex at village-Pipalya Kumar, Teh.&Distt-Indore-M.P.
- 3. **Case no 578/10,** M/s Trikaya cultivations Pvt Ltd, C/o M/s Equinox reality & Infrastructure Pvt Ltd, Towr-1, 5th Floor, Equinox Business park Pvt Ltd, Office Bandra Kurla Complex, LBS Marg Kurla (west), Mumbai -4000 70, Residential colony at village- Bilauji, Teh-Waidhan, Distt- Singrauli-M.P.
- 4 **Case no 580/10**, Employees state insurance corporation, Regional office, Nanda Nagar, Indore-M.P., Proposed ESIC Hospital and college building at Nanda Nagar, Indore-M.P.

SEIAA after deliberations noted in the above four cases that Prior Environmental Clearance is coming under the purview of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Govt. of India, Office Memorandum dated 13/1/2010 and 15/3/2010, which has listed critical environmental pollution index (CEPI) areas/cities. The notification of MoEF, GOI dated 14th September 2006 mentions General Conditions that any project or activity specified in Category `B" will be treated as Category `A' if located in whole or in part within 10 km from the boundary of - (ii) critically polluted areas as notified by CPCB from time to time. Hence, these projects would be treated as Category `A' and a decision for Prior Environmental Clearance will be taken by MoEF, GOI.

Hence, SEIAA decided to close all these four cases and return applications along with documents to the concerned Project Proponents immediately.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair