

Minutes of the 50th SEIAA Meeting held on 22nd February, 2011

The 50th meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 22nd February, 2011 at 11.00 A. M. at the Authority's office in M.P. Pollution Control Board Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal chaired by Shri Amar Singh, Chairman SEIAA.

The following members attended the meeting:-

1. Shri M. Hashim, Member
2. Shri Praveen Garg, Member Secretary

(A) Cases recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance

1. **Case no. 9/2008**, M/s K I Agrawal, Village-Khomarha, Tehsil-Amarpatan, Distt-Satna M.P. White clay, Ochre & Latrite Mine, Village-Khomarha, Khasra No. 751 to 764, Amarpatan, Distt- Satna, Area is 15.30 Ha and production will be 14,000 MT/annum.

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detailed EIA by 30th June 2011, which should be specific to the project. Impact and suggestive measures should be quantifiable and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned.

Secondly, the project proponent has not submitted an attested/notarized copy of mining lease, hence it was decided by the Authority to write to the Project Proponent to submit above document duly attested/notarized.

It was also observed by the Authority while scrutinizing the documents submitted by Project Proponent that distance of forest boundary from the mining lease area is just 100 mt. Hence it was decided to issue a letter to Project Proponent to obtain Forest NOC issued by the Competent Authority as per the Forest Deptt. circular No. F-5/16/81/10-3 dated 27th August 2008.

2. **Case no. 21/2008**, M/s Jai Mata di Marbles, Gathora Marble Mine, Vill- Gathora Tehsil-Sihora, Distt-Jabalpur M.P. Gathora Marble Mine, Vill- Gathora, The- Sehora, Distt-Jabalpur (M.P.) Area 9.10 Ha. Production – 4000 cum/annum Query regarding.

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

(Praveen Garg)
Member Secretary

M. Hashim)
Member

(Amar Singh)
Chairman

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detailed EIA by 30th June 2011 which should be more specific. Impact and suggestive measures should be quantifiable and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned and issues raised in public hearing should be readdressed in the revised EIA.

Secondly, the project proponent has not submitted an attested/notarized copy of mining lease, hence it was decided to ask the project proponent to submit above document duly attested/notarized. Thirdly the issues raised during public hearing should also be addressed in the EIA report.

3. **Case no. 111/2008**, M/s Shivalika Minerals, Sh J K Singh, 101, D.K Tower, J K Road, Indrapuri, Distt- Bhopal (M.P.) Project: Mansakhara Manganese mine 8.0 ha at a Village- Mansakhara Talluka-Sihora, Jabalpur

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detail EIA by 30th June 2011, which should be specific to the project. Impact and suggestive measures should be quantifiable and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned. Species wise no.of plants to be planted in the lease area should specifically be mentioned.

4. **Case no. 129/2008**, M/s Vishnuprasad Lahariya, Mardewara, Bijawar, Chhatarpur M.P, Mardewra Soapstone mine capacity at Vil-Mardewra, Teh-Bijawar, Distt-Chhatarpur M.P. Mining lease area 5.363 ha. 3000 TPA.

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence, it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detail EIA by 30th June 2011, which should be specific to the project. Impact and suggestive measures should be quantifiable and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned.

Secondly, the project proponent has not submitted an attested/notarized copy of mining lease, hence it was decided by the Authority to write to the project proponent to submit above document duly attested/notarized.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that the distance of Mining Lease area from inter-state boundary is not submitted. Hence it was decided to write to the Distt.Collector asking for above information by 30th June 2011.

(Praveen Garg)
Member Secretary

M. Hashim)
Member

(Amar Singh)
Chairman

5. **Case no 144/2008**, M/s Rakesh Agencies, Jaitwara Distt- Satna M.P., Kangohi Bauxite and ocher mine 15.62 ha. at Vill-Karigohi, Talluka-Majhgawan- Distt- Satna M.P

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detailed EIA by 30th June 2011, which should be specific to the project. Anticipated impact and pollution control measures should be in measurable term and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned.

Secondly, the project proponent has not submitted an attested/notarized copy of mining lease, hence it was decided by the Authority to write to the project proponent to submit above document duly attested/notarized and latest certified copy of Khasra.

The Authority has decided to write a letter to Distt. Collector to ask for actual distance of mining area from inter-state boundary by 30th June 2011.

6. **Case no 179/2008**, M/s Brij Kishore Bhargava, Bhargava House, Nai Basti, P.O Katni- (M.P.) Bhaiswahi, laterute abd clay mine, Village-Bhaiswahi, Teh-Murwara, Distt-Katni M.P. Mining lease area 30.394 Ha. Produ.capa. 60,000 T/A.

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detail EIA by 30th June 2011 which should be specific to the project. Anticipated impact and pollution control measures should be in measurable term and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned.

Secondly, the project proponent has not submitted an attested/notarized copy of mining lease, hence it was decided to ask the project proponent to submit above document duly attested/notarized and latest certified copy of Khasra.

7. **Case no. 202/2008**, M/s Shiv Shakti Trading Co.Padwar, Bahoriband, Distt- Katni M.P. Padwar Bauxite, Laterite and Clay mine, Village-Padwar, Khasra No. 1568, Teh-Bahoriband, Distt- Katni M.P, Bauxite, laterite and clay mine 7.46 ha. at Padwar, Bahuriband, Katni M.P.

The above case was considered in the 76th meeting of SEAC and recommended for Prior Environmental Clearance.

(Praveen Garg)
Member Secretary

M. Hashim)
Member

(Amar Singh)
Chairman

The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that EIA submitted by the proponent is very general, not project specific. Hence it was decided to write to the proponent to resubmit detail EIA by 30th June 2011, which should be specific to the project. Impact and suggestive measures should be quantifiable and exact time lines of mitigative measures should be clearly mentioned.

It has been observed by the Authority that the Project Proponent has not submitted certified/notarized copy of transfer of land included in the Mining Lease from Smt Aruna Devi Bajaj to the Project Proponent and latest certified copy of Khasra. Hence it was decided to write to Project Proponent to submit certified/notarized copy of these documents.

(B) Additional Agenda

1. M.P. State Tourism Development Corp., Bhopal

The Authority has decided to send back all the original documents submitted with the application to M.D., Madhya Pradesh State Tourism Development Corp. with a covering letter mentioning that to apply through prescribed form provided in the Notification dt 14-09-2006 issued by MoEF and its amendments. They should also be advised that no activity should be taken up without obtaining Prior Environmental Clearance for the project.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair

(Praveen Garg)
Member Secretary

M. Hashim)
Member

(Amar Singh)
Chairman