

## **Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2009**

The 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2009 at 11.30 A M at the Authority's office in M.P. Pollution Control Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal chaired by Shri Subroto Banerji.

The following members attended the meeting:-

1. Shri M. Hashim, Member
2. Smt. Kalpana Srivastava, Member Secretary

### **(A) Cases recommended for Environmental Clearance by SEAC**

1. M/s Shukdeo Prasad Goenka, C/o Goenka Bhawan, Katni M.P. Laterite yellow ochre and manganese red oxide, red ochre mines fire clay 48.562 hact. Vill- Jhinna, Teh-Dhimmerkhera, Distt- Katni M.P. **Case no 153/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of environmental clearance. SEIAA while scrutinizing the documents observed that

- (i) An objection was raised by Shri Dilip Daskar & Shri Jugraj Singh, regarding illegal mining at site. While the Addl. Collector did not mentioned about the issue in his report. Hence it was decided to get the opinion of Distt. Collector in this regard.
  - (ii) It was also observed that the project proponent has not submitted a Forest Deptt. NOC mentioning the distance of forest boundary from the mining lease area.. Hence it was decided to send the above case back to SEAC to get a clarification from the project proponent on point no (ii) above.
2. Smt. Sangeeta Sharma, Nagpur M.H, Manganese ore- mine 7.114 hact. at Vill-Palaspiani, Teh: souncer, Distt-Chhindwara M.P. **Case no 151/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of Environmental Clearance. SEIAA while scrutinizing the documents submitted by the project proponent observed.

- (i) The distance of mining lease area from the forest boundary has not been mentioned in the Forest Deptt. NOC.
- (ii) Shri Shekh Nabi S/o Shri Shekh Mangal has claimed that he is the owner of the land. The above issue may also be clarified from the revenue records.

It was decided to send the case back to SEAC for reconsideration on the above grounds.

Sd/-  
**(Kalpana Srivastava)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

3. Shri Rakesh Sharma, Nagpur M.H, Dolomite mine 5.639 hact. Vill- Malegaon, Teh- Saunsar, Distt. Chhindwara M.P. **Case no 157/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of environmental clearance.

SEIAA while scrutinizing the documents submitted by the project proponent found that in the Forest Deptt. NOC the distance of mining lease area from the forest boundary has not been mentioned. Hence it was decided to send the case back to SEAC for reconsideration on the above grounds.

4. Smt. Sangeeta Sharma, Nagpur M.H., Manganese ore- mine 8.052 hact. at Vill-Sitapar Teh: Souncer, Distt-Chhindwara M.P. **Case no 150/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of environmental clearance.

SEIAA accepted the recommendations of SEAC and decided to accord the environmental clearance to the above case.

5. Alcon Laboratory & Industries, (India) D<sub>1</sub>- 113/114, Sector-5, Devendra Nagar, Raipur (C.G)Chaparwaha, Dolomite & Limestone mine 19.716 hact. at Village- Chaparwaha, Teh.- Murwara, Distt- Katni. **Case no 225/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of environmental clearance.

SEIAA accepted the recommendations of SEAC and decided to accord the environmental clearance to the above case.

Sd/-  
**(Kalpana Srivastava)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

6. M/s Lupin Laboratories, Ltd Industrial area, Mandideep, Proposed capacity enhancement and addition new products 198.202, New Industrial Area No.2, Raisen M.P. **Case no 148/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for environmental clearance. SEIAA while scrutinizing the documents observed that the process of manufacturing involves handling and disposal of hazardous substances. The project proponent has mentioned various steps to be taken for their safe handling and disposal.

SEIAA has decided to send the case back to SEAC to ensure and put it along with their recommendation that the safety measures suggested by project proponent are sufficient to address the health hazard. Further it will not pose any health hazard to the people within and outside the plant.

7. ASN Industries Pvt Ltd, 7.5 Biomass based power project in village, Gudar, Tehsil, Badwara, Distt- Katni M.P. **Case no 115/2008**

The above case was recommended by SEAC for grant of environmental clearance. SEIAA came to know from the Ministry's web site that the bio-mass project up to 15 MW are exempted from getting prior environmental clearance through an office memorandum no J-11013/56/2004-IA II (I) dated 11/11/09.

SEIAA decided to get a clarification from Ministry regarding the procedure to be followed in the projects under consideration before issue of the above amendment. Till that time the case is deferred.

## **(B) Cases recommended by SEAC for rejection**

1. Shri Jeevan Singh S/o Shri Surat Singh, Sand mine 5.270 ha. at Village Padliya Mohaba, Teh- Sonkachh, Distt-Dewas – M.P. **Case no 425/2009**

The project proponent did not attend the SEAC meeting after getting a number of chances. Hence SEAC has recommended rejection on the ground of not attending SEAC meeting.

SEIAA accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to reject the case.

Sd/-  
**(Kalpana Srivastava)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

2. Shri Bhurelal Gole, Sand mine 12.54 ha. At village Aklawara, Teh-Rajpur, Distt-Badwani-M.P., **Case no. 418**

The project proponent did not attend the SEAC meeting after getting a number of chances. Hence SEAC has recommended rejection on the ground of not attending SEAC meeting.

SEIAA accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to reject the case.

3. M/s Samdariya Builders Pvt. Ltd, Proposed shopping mall at civic centre, Jabalpur-M.P. **Case no 441/2009**

The project proponent of the above case has requested to withdraw his case since the built up area is less than 20000 Sq.m. SEAC has sent back the case to SEIAA for opinion.

The project proponent has also applied for withdrawal to SEIAA since the built up area is less than 20000 Sq.m.

The matter was discussed in the meeting SEIAA decided to grant the permission for withdrawal of the above case.

4. The M.P, State Mining Corporation Ltd, Rock Phosphate mine 48.758 ha. at village Mardeora, Teh-Bijawar, Distt- Chhatarpur- M.P. **Case no 443/2009**

SEAC has recommended that the above case is to be resubmitted as per the provision of EIA notification of 2006. On the basis of the SEAC minutes the project proponent has already applied and the application is under consideration of SEAC for ToR.

Hence SEIAA decided to delist the above case.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

Sd/-  
**(Kalpana Srivastava)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman