

The 494th meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held on 31st March, 2021 under the Chairmanship of Mohd. Kasam Khan for the projects / issues received from SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting in person or through video conferencing -

1. Dr. Mohd. Akram Khan, Member.
2. Dr. A. K. Sharma, Member.
3. Dr. Sonal Mehta, Member.
4. Dr. Jai Prakash Shukla, Member.
5. Dr. R. Maheshwari, Member.
6. Dr. Rubina Chaudhary, Member.
7. Shri A.A. Mishra, Secretary.

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations.

1. Case No. – 7767/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.642 ha. (16,150 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 299), Village - Kelkachh-B, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 299), Village - Kelkachh-B, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 3.642 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 451 dated: 24/07/2020 has reported that there are 04 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 38.284 ha., including this mine.

The case was not scheduled for presentation in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/09/20 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

In the 464th SEAC meeting dated 03/10/20 it is recorded that since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become

category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

“The case was discussed in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/9/2020 and it was recorded that...

“Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal”.

PP has submitted required documents vide L.NO. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

2. Case No. – 7774/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 15.00 ha. (30,001 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 398), Village - Andiya, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP).

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 398), Village - Andiya, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 15.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 22.284 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/09/20 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

In the 464th SEAC meeting dated 03/10/20 it is recorded that since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

"The case was discussed in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/9/2020 and it was recorded that...

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal".

PP has submitted required documents vide L.NO. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee

decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

3. Case No. – 7760/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 24.00 ha. (1,65,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 337, 284), Village - Patai, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP).

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 337, 284), Village - Patai, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 24.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/09/20 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

In the 464th SEAC meeting dated 03/10/20 it is recorded that since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/9/2020 and it was recorded that...

“Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to

delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal.”

PP has submitted required documents vide L.NO. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

4. Case No. – 7762/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 12.00 ha. (1,25,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 614), Village - Bharkachh Kalan, Tehsil - Badi, Dist. Raisen, (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 614), Village - Bharkachh Kalan, Tehsil - Badi, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 12.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/09/20 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

In the 464th SEAC meeting dated 03/10/20 it is recorded that since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become

category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 462nd SEAC meeting dated 30/9/2020 and it was recorded that...

“Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above cases mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal.”

PP has submitted required documents vide L.NO. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

5. Case No 7936/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.642 ha. (5000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 385), Village - Kelkacch-c, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 385), Village - Kelkacch-c, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP) 3.642 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed

format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 2293 dated: 02/9/2020 has reported that there are 03 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 30.532 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled in 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020 for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was scheduled again for presentation in 471st meeting dated 05/01/2020 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 470th SEAC meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in SEAC meeting 471st meeting dated 05/01/2021 & 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

“The case was discussed in 657th SEIAA meeting dated 08/02/2021 and it has been recorded that..The case was discussed in 475th SEAC meeting dated 28/01/2021 and it was recorded that“... The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in SEAC meeting 471st meeting dated 05/01/2021 & 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be

returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist the case on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal.”

PP has submitted required documents vide L.No. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

6. Case No 7941/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 16.0 ha. (10000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 299), Village - Kelkachh-1, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 299), Village - Kelkachh-1, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP) 16.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/9/2020 has reported that there are 04 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 35.326 ha. including this mine.

The case was scheduled in 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020 for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was scheduled again for presentation in 471st meeting dated 05/01/2020 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 470th SEAC meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in SEAC meeting 471st meeting dated 05/01/2021 & 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dated 26/02/2021 and it has been recorded that...

“The case was discussed in 657th SEIAA meeting dated 08/02/2021 and it has been recorded that:

The case was discussed in 475th SEAC meeting dated 28/01/2021 and it was recorded that “... The case was scheduled for presentation, but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in SEAC meeting 471st meeting dated 05/01/2021 & 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project. As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist the case on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA, it will then be relisted for appraisal.

PP has submitted required documents vide L.No. NIL dated 23/2/2021 received in SEIAA Office 24/2/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC.

Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for appraisal”.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

7. Case No 7957/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 8.40 ha. (10000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 385), Village - Kelkacch-2, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 385), Village - Kelkacch-2, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen (MP) 8.40 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/9/2020 has reported that there are 03 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 30.47 ha. including this mine.

The case was scheduled in 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020 for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was scheduled again for presentation in 471st meeting dated 05/01/2020 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 470th SEAC meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was scheduled for presentation, in the 475th SEAC meeting dated 28-01-21 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in SEAC meeting 471st meeting dated 05/01/2021 & 470th meeting dated 18/12/2020. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

SEIAA relisted the case and send to SEAC in 663 SEIAA meeting dated 26-02-21. Case relisted and send to SEAC vide letter no. 7262-63/SEIAA/21 dated 15-03-21.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

8. Case No. – 6361/2019 Shri Ashok Vishwakarma, Jalpa Ward, Gautam Lane, Dist. Katni, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Soil Laterite and Fireclay Mine in an area of 5.360 ha. (Laterite - 16526 Tonne Per Annum and Fireclay - 2636 Tonne Per Annum) (Khasra No. 04), Village - Mohla, Tehsil - Jabalpur, Dist. Jabalpur

This is case of Soil Laterite and Fireclay Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 04), Village - Mohla, Tehsil - Jabalpur, Dist. Jabalpur 5.360 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter No. 504 dated: 02/05/19 has reported that there is 02 more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 16.621 ha., including this mine.

Earlier this case was scheduled for presentation and discussion in 379th SEAC dated 04/07/2019 wherein ToR was recommended.

PP has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 02/2/2021 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 7276 dated 15/3/2021, which was placed before the committee.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 491st meeting dated 18/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

9. **Case No 8355/2021 Shri Rasmeet Singh Malhotra, Near Alka Talkies, Nehru Ward, Pipariya, Dist. Hoshangabad, MP - 461775 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.750 ha. (71250 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 686), Village - Nizampur, Tehsil - Ajaygarh, Dist. Panna (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 686), Village - Nizampur, Tehsil - Ajaygarh, Dist. Panna (MP) 4.750 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 453 dated: 05/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 492nd meeting dated 19/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

10. Case No 8356/2021 Shri Rasmeet Singh Malhotra, Near Alka Talkies, Nehru Ward, Pipariya, Dist. Hoshangabad, MP - 461775 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.750 ha. (71250 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 483), Village - Kharoni, Tehsil - Ajaygarh, Dist. Panna (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 483), Village - Kharoni, Tehsil - Ajaygarh, Dist. Panna (MP) 4.750 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 455 dated: 05/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 493rd meeting dated 23/3/2021 & 492nd meeting dated 19/03/2021. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

11. Case No 8349/2021 Shri Dhanjay Khandelwal, R/o, Paras Jewelers, Sardar Bazar, Murar, Dist. Gwalior, MP, 9826785005 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 3.860 ha. (150000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1051/2, 1053, 1056, 1981, 1982), Village - Dirman & Pali Dirman, Tehsil - Gohad, Dist. Bhind (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 1051/2, 1053, 1056, 1981, 1982), Village - Dirman & Pali Dirman, Tehsil - Gohad, Dist. Bhind (MP) 3.860 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2438 dated: 03/03/2021 has reported that there are 08 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 20.362 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during presentation PP submitted that there are in all 08 mines and total area will be 20.362 ha. Committee decided that this is a case of B-1 and EIA shall be carried out by PP and recommend to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC may be issued for conducting the EIA with following additional TORs and as per conditions mentioned in Annexure-D:-

- Cumulative impact of air, noise & traffic shall be incorporated in final EIA Report.
- The project proponent shall discuss the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled “Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area”, and shall be discussed in the EIA report.
- Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
- Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
- Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
- Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.

12. Case No 8321/2021 Smt. Bhawna Chauhan W/o Shri Santosh Gudwan Singh, Seoda, Tehsil - Ashta, Dist. Sehore, MP - 466001 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 3.30 ha. (19950 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 119/1), Village - Seoda, Tehsil - Ashta, Dist. Sehore (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 119/1), Village - Seoda, Tehsil - Ashta, Dist. Sehore (MP) 3.30 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 508 dated: 05/02/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled again for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 491st dated 18/3/2021 & 490th meeting dated 16/03/2021. Committee decided

that since sufficient opportunities has been given to the PP for appraisal of their case but PP remains absent thus committee decided that case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

13. Case No 6950/2020 M/s Mahak Agro India Limited, Pathak Bhawan, Nai Abadi, Dist. Mandsaur, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Laterite Mine in an area of 12.838 ha. (50000 MT per annum) (Khasra No. 141/1), Village - Jamunia Meena, Tehsil - Mandsaur, Dist. Mandsaur (MP)

This is case of Laterite Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 141/1), Village - Jamunia Meena, Tehsil - Mandsaur, Dist. Mandsaur (MP) 12.838 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

Earlier this case was scheduled for presentation and discussion in 432th SEAC meeting dated 18/05/2020 wherein ToR was recommended.

PP has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 01/02/2021 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 6014 dated 06/02/2021, which was placed before the committee.

This case was again scheduled for presentation in 486th SEAC meeting dated 26/02/21, wherein neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 482nd meeting dated 17/02/2021. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings giving last chance to present their case and even if PP remains absent the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

PP and their consultant presented the EIA before the committee in 489th SEAC meeting dated 12/03/21, during presentation committee observed that during public hearing serious objections were raised by the villagers of Gram Jamunia meena wherein it is stated that on govt land Khasra no.141 several works such as Playground, Percolation Tank, Nirmal Neer Kup and Plantation under Mahatam Gandhi Rozgar Guarantee Yojna have been completed worth approx Rs. 15.54 lakhs. During presentation PP was unable to submit proper reply on above issues and submitted that above developmental works taken place after the lease is sanctioned to them. PP further submitted that he will take-up the issue with the lease allotment authority to get it resolved and submit the suitable response within 15 days.

Committee after deliberations recommends that since Gram Panchayat and villagers has raised objections against mining activity on allotted lease this case cannot be considered for grant of EC at this stage. However, as desired by PP 15 days time may be given to him for submitting suitable response on issues raised during public hearing. If PP does not submit the response within 15 days case cannot be considered for grant of EC on the basis of objects raised by gram Panchayat & villagers and case file may be sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but PP and their consultant remain absent. Committee during discussion observed that in 489th SEAC meeting dated 12/03/21 it was discussed that *“in this case Gram Panchayat and villagers has raised objections against mining activity on allotted lease and hence this case cannot be considered for grant of EC at this stage. However, as desired by PP 15 days time may be given to him for submitting suitable response on issues raised during public hearing. If PP does not submit the response within 15 days case cannot be considered for grant of EC on the basis of objects raised by gram Panchayat & villagers and case file may be sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action”*. Committee observed that since till date PP has not submitted any response as committed by him and Gram Panchayat and villagers has raised objections against mining activity on allotted lease due to various developmental works taken place on part of this lease, this case cannot be considered for grant of EC at this stage and file may be sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action.

Till date PP has not submitted any response and thus committee decided that in lieu of above case cannot be considered for grant of EC and file shall be sent to SEIAA for necessary action.

14. Case No 8139/2021 M/s Hotel Uma Residency, Chankyapuri, Dist. Satna, MP – 460001 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (21000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 316 Part), Village - Chikhali Raiyat, Tehsil - Shahpur, Dist. Betul (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 316 Part), Village - Chikhali Raiyat, Tehsil - Shahpur, Dist. Betul (MP) 4.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed

format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 86 dated: 11/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine total.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 480 SEAC meeting dated 13-02-21. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining on Morand River. During presentation it was observed by the committee that lease is in two parts for which PP submitted that it is allotted in two parts because in the non allotted area there is a kachha road for crossing the river for villagers. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 21,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 13.76 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.44 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

SEIAA vide letter no. 7395-96/SEIAA/21 dated 17-03-21 along with technical file sent to SEAC for re-examination as follows:

"The case was discussed in 663rd SEIAA meeting dtd. 26/2/2021 and it was recorded that... This case was recommended in 480th SEAC meeting dated 13/2/2021 and it was recorded that.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that The PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining on Morand River. During presentation it was observed by the committee that lease is in two parts for which PP submitted that it is allotted in two parts because in the non allotted area there is a kachha road for crossing the river for villagers. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

After detailed discussion it seems that due to the lease was allotted in two parts and it was non allotted area between the above two parts of the lease. It was also found a kachha road for crossing the river by villagers. After detail discussion it was decided that due to know any proper safety arrangements for local people crossing the river between the two parts of lease area, it is not found satisfactory. The technical file sent to SEAC for re-examination".

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the 493rd SEAC meeting dated 23/03/21 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in this meeting wherein PP submitted that due to a kachha road lease was sanctioned in two parts and they have proposed adequate safety measures during transportation of sand in approved Mine Plan/EMP by the competent authority such as “restriction of vehicle speed, covering the carrying vehicles with tarpaulin, regular sprinkling of water on road, proper road maintenance, arrangement of permanent chokidar at the time of mining etc” and being sand mining no blasting is proposed in this case. PP further submitted that in the EMP budgetary allocations are made for road maintenance, providing safety sinages and warning boards to keep villagers away from the mining area. PP also submitted that in proposed “Evacuation Plan” attached with the presentation it is clearly mentioned that “transportation through densely populated area will be avoided”. PP requested that they have proposed all essential measures for safety of nearby residents/ local people and thus their case shall be considered for grant of EC. Committee deliberated that above issues were discussed during appraisal of case and considering the above provisions, the case was recommended for grant of EC. Committee would further like to submit that as per Sustainable “Sand Mining Management Guidelines, 2016” and “Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining, 2020” issued by the MoEF&CC, norms have been prescribed for leaving non mining area due to existence of road /bridge crossing the lease. However, since such leases (lease in two parts / awkward in shape/ partly submerged in water etc) are sanctioned by Competent District Authorities / Mining Department thus an advisory shall be issued by SEIAA to them to avoid similar situation in future and this file may be sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action.

- 15. Case No. - 5633/2018 Executive Engineer, Narmada Development Division No. 18, Damkheda Colony, Khargone, (M.P.) 451001.Prior Environment Clearance for Pipari Lift Micro Irrigation Scheme, Supply Source Lifting Point: Indira Sagar Main Canal from R.D. – 117.5 km. Near Village- Kondapur, at Village Kondapur, Distt. – Khargone (M.P.) Distribution Point Pokharkhurd, CCA – 7000 To 17000 ha. GCA- 9000- 29508 ha., Khasra No. 4605/4609/55 B2. (Total 61 Villages of Khargone district will be benefited) Lifting Point is at ISP Canal 117.5 to 118.5 (Lifting point will be shifted 1 km downstream). Cat. - 1(c) River Valley Project. Env. Consultant: Env. Con. R.S. Enviro, Gurgaon.**

This is case of Prior Environment Clearance for Pipari Lift Micro Irrigation Scheme at Near Village Kondapur, Dist. Khargone, MP and falls under category "B" and have been

mentioned at SN. 1(c) column B of Schedule of EIA Notification, hence such projects are required to obtain prior EC from the SEIAA. The application for EC was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for scoping so as to determine TOR to carry out EIA and prepare EMP.

Earlier this case was scheduled for presentation and discussion in 307th SEAC meeting dated 23/02/18 wherein ToR was recommended.

PP has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 04/11/2020 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 4874 dated 17/11/2020, which was placed before the committee.

TOR recommended in 307 SEAC meeting dated 23-02-18. TOR approved in 472 SEIAA meeting dated 14-03-18.

PP has submitted the Amended ToR application vide letter dated 29/10/2020 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 4876 dated 17/11/2020.

The case was presented by PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted that they have applied for TOR amendment as the CCA has increased from 7000 ha to 17,000 ha and GCA has also increased from 9000 ha to 29,508 ha. With this increase, the benefitted number of villagers will also be increased from 16 to 61. PP further submitted that no forest area is involved in the project thus FC clearance is not required. The committee after deliberations decided to recommend amendment in TOR for conducting the EIA study along with CCA from 7000 ha to 17,000 ha and GCA 9,000 ha to 29,508 ha. The other conditions will remain the same as per TOR recommended in 307 SEAC meeting dated 23-02-18.

Earlier this case was scheduled for presentation and discussion in 466th SEAC dated 26/11/2020 wherein ToR was recommended.

PP has submitted the EIA report vide letter dated 786 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 7512 dated 24.03.2021, which was placed before the committee.

The Executive Summary of Pipari Micro Lift Irrigation Scheme is as:

Project Description:

The main objective of Pipari Micro Lift Irrigation Project is to provide irrigation facilities to the water-scare areas in Khargone, Kasrawad & Segaoon tehsils of Khargone district. The project has been conceived to cater irrigation water to about 17000 Ha. of CCA in Khargone district. 61 villages of Khargone, Kasrawad & Segaoon tehsils Khargone districts will be benefitted. The project is a lift irrigation scheme; consisting of lifting water from Indira Sagar Main Canal at R.D. 118.50 km and distribution through a pressurized piped system to

cultivators for irrigation during Rabi season. Water will be supplied upto 2.5 ha chak size under adequate pressure (minimum 28m head) for drip/sprinkler system to be installed by cultivators. The Project consists of piped system with Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and has following Components:

1. Pump Houses (2 numbers)
2. Rising mains (2 numbers) – (36.61 km total length)
3. Distribution Network (HDPE) from 20 ha chak to 2.5 ha chak
4. Flow & Pressure Control Valves, Air valves
5. Power Transmission Line

Execution of Pipari Lift Micro Irrigation Project of Madhya Pradesh comprising of-

1. Lifting of 6.05 cumec water from ISP main canal at R.D. 118.50 km near village Kondapur to supply for irrigation in 17,000 Ha CCA.
2. Construction of Pumping Stations with Pumps including substation, transformer and all electrical works and Control Room with SCADA
3. Laying of Rising Mains / Gravity Mains including intermediary structures.
4. Erection of Electric Line of suitable voltage and power as may be required.
5. Construction of underground piped main canals/ major/minor distributaries and disnet for Micro irrigation up to 2.5 ha. chak including inline structures & other miscellaneous works.
6. Total power requirement for the entire project shall be 11.87MW.

Salient Features

1	Name of the Project	Pipari Micro Lift Irrigation Scheme
2	Type of Project	Lift Irrigation Project
3	Location	
	i) Supply Source	Indira Sagar Main Canal
	ii) Lifting Point	Khargone District, Near Kondapur village
	iii) Command	In Khargone, Kasrawad & Segaoon tehsils of Khargone district
4	Access to the Project	
	i) Nearest Airport	Devi Ahilya Airport Indore (M.P.)

	ii) Nearest Rail Head	80 Km from Sanawad
5	Interstate Aspects	
	i) State/country wise details of catchment	Not applicable
	ii) Submergence due to Project	No submergence due to project, as it is a lift scheme
	iii) Water Allocation for the State	The quantum of water being lifted for this project is included in the water share of MP as per NWDT award
	iv) Water Allocation for other states	Not applicable
6	Estimated life of the project	50 Years
7	Irrigation (ha.)	
	(a) Gross command area (GCA)	29508 ha
	(b) Culturable command area (CCA)	17000 ha
	(c) Irrigation Period	Rabi
8	Rising Main/Distribution System	Piped Network: (MS/HDPE)
9	Discharge	6.05 cumec
10	Cost of the project	293.18 Crore
11	B.C. Ratio	3.61
12	Protected Areas (PA) in vicinity	No protected area within 10 Km; nearest PA is Yawal WLS –74 Km from pumping location

Land requirement

The entire micro irrigation system has been aligned in such a way, that it does not pass through the forest area; therefore diversion of forest land is not required for this project. For construction of pump houses and Distribution chambers, land is available with NVDA along the ISP canal; however, private land of about 1.668 ha shall be required. The pipe shall be laid 1.00 m below average ground level hence no land for pipes shall be acquired permanently and temporary land acquisition will be done as per the applicable act. About 36 ha Private will be acquired temporarily.

Proximity to Protected Areas

There is no protected area within 10 Km of the project location.

The EIA was presented by the PP and their consultants wherein submitted that

- The entire micro irrigation system has been aligned in such a way, that it does not pass through the forest area; therefore diversion of forest land is not required for this project.
- For construction of pump houses and Distribution chambers, land is available with NVDA along the ISP canal; however, private land of about 1.668 ha. shall be required.
- There is no protected area within 10 Km of the project location.
- No forest land involved in this project.
- No Scheduled –I species observed in the project area.

Further, PP has submitted that the total private land required for the project is 1.668 ha. – Village Narsinghpur 1.068 ha. & Village Jamla 0.600 ha. Copies of the letters issued to the Collector Khargone were submitted by PP during presentation. After detail discussion, committee has asked the PP to submit the following information:

1. Submit physical targets based Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) activities based on public hearing.

PP vide letter dated 31.03.2021 has submitted reply of the above query. The query reply was presented by the PP and after deliberations, the submissions and presentation made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable hence the case was recommended for grant of Prior Environment Clearance for Pipari Lift Micro Irrigation Scheme, Supply Source Lifting Point: Indira Sagar Main Canal from R.D. – 117.5 km. Near Village-Kondapur, at Village Kondapur, Distt. – Khargone (M.P.) Distribution Point Pokharkhurd, CCA –17000 ha. GCA- 29,508 ha., Khasra No. 4605/4609/55 , Lifting Point is at ISP Canal 117.5 to 118.5 (Lifting point will be shifted 1 km downstream). Cat. - 1(c) River Valley Project with following special conditions:

I. Statutory compliance:

- i. The project proponent shall prepare a Site-Specific Conservation Plan & Wildlife Management Plan and approved by the Chief Wildlife Warden. The recommendations of the approved Site-Specific Conservation Plan / Wildlife Management Plan shall be

implemented in consultation with the State Forest Department. The implementation report shall be furnished along with the six-monthly compliance report. (in case of the presence of schedule-I species in the study area)

- ii. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to Establish / Operate under the provisions of Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 from the concerned State pollution Control Board/Committee

II. Air quality monitoring and preservation

- i. Regular monitoring of various environmental parameters viz., Water Quality, Ambient Air Quality and Noise levels as per the CPCB guidelines at designated locations shall be carried out on monthly basis and a detailed database of the same shall be prepared and recorded. This shall be used as a baseline data for post construction EIA / Monitoring purposes.
- ii. Appropriate Air Pollution Control (APC) system shall be provided for all the dust generating points including fugitive dust from all vulnerable sources, so as to comply prescribed standards.
- iii. Necessary control measures such as water sprinkling arrangements, etc. be taken up to arrest fugitive dust at all the construction sites.

III. Water quality monitoring and preservation

- i. Mixed irrigation shall be practiced and necessary awareness be given to all the farmers and trained in the use of such systems. Proper crops selection shall be carried out for making irrigation facility more effective.
- ii. On Farm Development (OFD) works like landscaping, land leveling, drainage facilities, field irrigation channels and farm roads, etc. should be taken up in phased manner prior to the start of irrigation in the entire command area. The Command Area Development Plan should be strictly implemented as proposed in the EIA/EMP report.

IV. Noise monitoring and prevention

- i. All the equipment likely to generate high noise shall be appropriately enclosed or inbuilt noise enclosures be provided so as to meet the ambient noise standards as

notified under the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000, as amended in 2010 under the Environment Protection Act (EPA), 1986.

- ii. The ambient noise levels should conform to the standards prescribed under E(P)A Rules, 1986 viz. 75 dB(A) during day time and 70 dB(A) during night time .

V. Waste management

- i. Muck disposal (1.708 lakh cum) be carried out only in the approved and earmarked sites. The dumping sites shall be located sufficiently away from the HFL of the river. Efforts be made to reuse the muck for construction and other filling purposes and balanced be disposed of at the designated disposal sites. Once the muck disposal sites are inactive, proper treatment measures like both engineering and biological measures be carried out so that sites are stabilized quickly.
- ii. Solid waste management should be planned in details. Land filling of plastic waste shall be avoided and instead be used for various purposes as envisaged in the EIA/EMP reports. Efforts be made to avoid one time use of plastics.

VI. Green Belt and Wildlife Management

- i. Plantation area 42.50 ha. with 51,000 number of saplings.
- ii. Wildlife Conservation Plan prepared for both core and buffer zones shall be implemented in consultation with the local State Forest Department.
- iii. To enrich the habitat of the project site, plantation shall be raised as envisaged in the EIA/EMP report. Plantation to be developed with local indigenous species in consultation with the local State Forest Department.

VII. Public hearing and Human health issues

- i. Resettlement & Rehabilitation plan be implemented in consultation with the State Govt. as approved by the State Govt.
- ii. Budget provisions made for the community and social development plan including community welfare schemes shall be implemented in toto.
- iii. Preventive measures viz. fuming and spraying of mosquito control shall be done in and

around the labour colonies, affected villages, stagnated pools, etc. Provisions be made to not to create any stagnated pools to avoid creation of breeding grounds of the vector borne diseases

- iv. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
- v. Labour force to be engaged for construction works shall be examined thoroughly and adequately treated before issuing them work permit. Medical facilities shall be provided at the construction sites.
- vi. Emergency preparedness plan be made for any eventuality.

VIII. EMP & Corporate Environment Responsibility

- i. A budgetary provision of Rs. 635.25 Lakhs is made for Environmental Management Plan. Capital cost Rs. 81.0 Lakhs and Rs. 554.25 lakhs as recurring cost.
- ii. PP has proposed following physical targets based on public hearing under Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER)
 1. Primary health Centers located at Hasanpur, Nimgul, Rangam, Temla and Romchichli villages of and Tehsil Khargone and village Jamla and Village Balkhed of Tehsil Kasrawad following will be provided:
 - Minor repair and painting of building,
 - Improvement of toilets (separate for male and female),
 - Purchase of furniture
 - Drinking water arrangement including provision of water cooler
 2. Health Camps – one each in tehsil Kasrawad, Kahrgone and Segaoon
 3. Purchase of beds, tables and chairs for girls hostel at Khargone and Kasrawad and boys hostel at Khargone
 4. Purchase of sports goods and improvement of infrastructure at boys sport complex Khargone
- iii. Skill mapping be undertaken for the youths of the affected project area and based on the skill mapping, necessary trainings to the youths be provided for their long time livelihood generation
- iv. The company shall have a well laid down environmental policy duly approve by the Board of Directors. The environmental policy should prescribe for standard operating procedures to have proper checks and balances and to bring into focus any infringements/deviation/violation of the environmental / forest / wildlife norms / conditions. The company shall have defined system of reporting infringements /

deviation / violation of the environmental / forest / wildlife norms / conditions and / or shareholders / stake holders. The copy of the board resolution in this regard shall be submitted to the MoEF&CC as a part of six-monthly report.

- v. A separate Environmental Cell both at the project and company head quarter level, with qualified personnel shall be set up under the control of senior Executive, who will directly to the head of the organization.
- vi. Action plan for implementing EMP and environmental conditions along with responsibility matrix of the company shall be prepared and shall be duly approved by competent authority. The year wise funds earmarked for environmental protection measures shall be kept in separate account and not to be diverted for any other purpose. Year-wise progress of implementation of action plan shall be reported to the Ministry/Regional Office along with the Six Monthly Compliance Report.

IX. Miscellaneous

- i. The project proponent shall submit the environmental statement for each financial year in Form-V to the concerned State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986, as amended subsequently and put on the website of the company
- ii. The project proponent shall inform the Regional Office as well as the Ministry, the date of financial closure and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities, commencing the land development work and start of production operation by the project.
- iii. The project authorities must strictly adhere to the stipulations made by the State Pollution Control Board and the State Government.
- iv. The project proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report, commitment made during Public Hearing and also that during their presentation to the Expert Appraisal Committee.
- v. No further expansion or modifications in the plant shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC).
- vi. The above conditions shall be enforced, inter-alia under the provisions of the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and Rules and any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / High Courts and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.

16. Case No 8412/2021 EHS Manager, WOW INC Through Shri Achin Jain B-187, Ans Township, Talawali Chanda, Indore (M.P.) 453771 Prior Environment Clearance for Proposed Greenfield API & Intermediate Unit at Plot No. 21-C, Maksi Industrial Area, Maksi, Distt. Shajapur, MP Total Project area – 5390 Sq.M. (0.539 ha) Production Capacity : 17,000 Tonnes of Inorganic API & Intermediates.

This is case of Prior Environment Clearance for Proposed Greenfield API & Intermediate Unit at Plot No. 21-C, Maksi Industrial Area, Maksi, Distt. Shajapur, MP Total Project area – 5390 Sq.M. (0.539 ha) Production Capacity : 17,000 Tonnes/Annum of Inorganic API & Intermediates.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted that the as per EIA Notification dated 14th September 2006 and its subsequent amendments, the project falls under activity '5(f)' of schedule 'B' as the project is located under the Notified Industrial area of Maksi, District- Shajapur (M.P.) and as per the Notification S.O. 1223 (E) dated 27.03.2020 and S.O. 3636 (E) dated 15th Oct, 2020 in which it is clearly mentioned that "All proposals for projects or activities in respect of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API), received up to the 30th March 2021, shall be appraised, as Category 'B2' projects, provided that any subsequent amendment or expansion or change in product mix, after the 30th March 2021, shall be considered as per the provisions in force at that time." PP further submitted that solvent recovery shall be >99% and they have applied for CGWB permission as AKVN supply is not available in the area. After presentation PP was asked to submit response on following:

1. Revised lay- out plan showing approximate damage distance.
2. Process diagram of ETP with capacity of each component.
3. Copy of application regarding CGWB permission for GW withdrawn.
4. Revised Plantation species as suggested during presentation.
5. PP's commitment for un-interrupted water supply.
6. Undertaking for fly ash utilization.
7. Revised CER with physical targets as suggested by the committee.

PP vide letter dated 31.03.2021 submitted reply of the above query. The query reply was discussed and after deliberations, the submissions and presentation made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable hence the case was recommended for grant of Prior Environment Clearance for Prior Environment Clearance Proposed Greenfield API & Intermediate Unit at Plot No. 21-C, Maksi Industrial Area, Maksi, Distt. Shajapur, MP Total Project area 5390 Sq.M. (0.539 ha.) Production Capacity: 17,000 Tonnes of Inorganic API & Intermediates, subject to the following special conditions:

(A) Statutory compliance:

1. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to Establish/Operate under the provisions of Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 from the Madhya Pradesh Pollution Control Board (MPPCB).
2. The project proponent shall obtain authorization under the Hazardous and other Waste Management Rules, 2016 as amended from time to time.
3. The Company shall strictly comply with the rules and guidelines under Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals (MSIHC) Rules, 1989 as amended time to time. All transportation of Hazardous Chemicals shall be as per the Motor Vehicle Act (MVA), 1989.

(B) Air quality monitoring and preservation

1. The project proponent shall install 24x7 continuous emission monitoring system at process stacks to monitor stack emission with respect to standards prescribed in Environment (Protection) Rules 1986 and connect it to MPPCB and CPCB online servers and calibrate these system from time to time according to equipment supplier specification through labs recognized under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 or NABL accredited laboratories.
2. The project proponent shall monitor fugitive emissions in the plant premises at least once in every quarter through labs recognized under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
3. To control source and the fugitive emissions, suitable pollution control devices shall be installed to meet the prescribed norms and/or the NAAQS. Sulphur content should not exceed 0.5% in the coal for use in coal fired boilers to control particulate emissions within permissible limits (if applicable). The gaseous emissions from the boiler, DG set and scrubber shall be dispersed through stack of adequate height as per CPCB/SPCB guidelines.
4. Storage of raw materials, coal etc shall be either stored in silos or in covered areas to prevent dust pollution and other fugitive emissions.
5. The DG sets (1x625kVA) shall be equipped with suitable pollution control devices and the adequate stack height so that the emissions are in conformity with the extant regulations and the guidelines in this regard.

6. National Emission Standards for Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Industry issued by the Ministry vide G.S.R. 608(E) dated 21st July, 2010 and amended from time to time shall be followed.
7. The National Ambient Air Quality Emission Standards issued by the Ministry vide G.S.R. No. 826(E) dated 16th November, 2009 shall be complied with.

(C) Water quality monitoring and preservation

1. The project proponent shall provide online continuous monitoring of effluent, the unit shall install web camera with night vision capability and flow meters in the channel/drain carrying effluent within the premises.
2. As already committed by the project proponent Zero Liquid Discharge shall be ensured and no waste/treated water shall be discharged outside the premises.
3. The High COD/TDS process effluent (3.0 KLD) and RO Reject will be treated through MEE/ ATFD. The MEE condensates will be recycled/ reused and MEE bottom will be sent to TSDF site
4. The Low COD/TDS effluent, 5 KLD will be treated in an on-site ETP of 25KLD.
5. The treated effluent will be reused/ recycled.
6. Adhere to 'Zero Liquid Discharge and No industrial effluent from the unit shall be discharged outside the plant premises. PP should also install Internet Protocol PTZ camera with night vision facility along with minimum 05X zoom and data connectivity must be provided to the MPPCB's server for remote operations.
7. The effluent discharge shall conform to the standards prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986, or as specified by the Madhya Pradesh Control Board while granting Consent under the Air/Water Act, whichever is more stringent.
8. Total fresh 73.2KLD and water requirement shall not exceed the proposed quantity or as specified by the Committee. Prior permission shall be obtained from the concerned regulatory authority/CGWA in this regard.
9. Process effluent/any wastewater shall not be allowed to mix with storm water. The storm water from the premises shall be collected and discharged through a separate conveyance system.
10. The Company shall harvest rainwater from the roof tops of the buildings and storm water drains to recharge the ground water and utilize the same for different industrial operations within the plant.

11. Dedicated power supply shall be ensured for uninterrupted operations of treatment systems.

(D) Noise monitoring and prevention

1. Acoustic enclosure shall be provided to DG (1x625 KVA) set for controlling the noise pollution.
2. The overall noise levels in and around the plant area shall be kept well within the standards by providing noise control measures including acoustic hoods, silencers, enclosures etc. on all sources of noise generation.
3. The ambient noise levels should conform to the standards prescribed under E(P)A Rules, 1986 viz. 75 dB(A) during day time and 70 dB(A) during night time.

(E) Energy Conservation measures

1. The energy sources for lighting purposes shall preferably be LED based.
2. The total power requirements for project will be 500 KW. The power will be supplied by Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board. Biomass Briquette will be used in boiler of 1 & 3 TPH respectively.

(F) Waste management

1. Hazardous chemicals shall be stored in tanks, tank farms, drums, carboys etc. Flame arresters shall be provided on tank farm and the solvent transfer through pumps.
2. As proposed minimum 95% solvent recovery shall be achieved and recovered solvent shall be reused in the process.
3. Hazardous wastes such as spent solvents, organic incinerable wastes/residues, used filter bags, packaging materials, rejected/expired raw materials and off specification/rejected finished products from the manufacturing plants shall be directly sent to CTSDf, Pithampur.
4. The Fly ash generated from boilers shall be stored in silos and disposed of through cement manufacturers by bulkers / closed containers and should comply with Fly Ash Utilization Notification, 1999 and as amended subsequently.
5. If any Flammable, ignitable, reactive and non-compatible wastes should be stored separately and never should be stored in the same storage shed.
6. Automatic smoke, heat detection system should be provided in the sheds. Adequate fire fighting systems should be provided for the storage area.
7. In order to have appropriate measures to prevent percolation of spills, leaks etc. to the soil and ground water, the storage area should be provided with concrete floor of inert

material or steel sheet depending on the characteristics of waste handled and the floor must be structurally sound and chemically compatible with wastes.

8. Measures should be taken to prevent entry of runoff into the storage area. The Storage area shall be designed in such a way that the floor level is at least 150 mm above the maximum flood level.
9. The storage area floor should be provided with secondary containment such as proper slopes as well as collection pit so as to collect wash water and the leakages/spills etc.
10. Storage areas should be provided with adequate number of spill kits at suitable locations. The spill kits should be provided with compatible sorbent material in adequate quantity.
11. Recent MSDS of all the chemicals used in the plant be displayed at appropriate places.
12. Proper fire fighting arrangements in consultation with the fire department should be provided against fire incident.
13. All the storage tanks of raw materials/products shall be fitted with appropriate controls to avoid any spillage / leakage. Bund/dyke walls of suitable height shall be provided to the storage tanks. Closed handling system of chemicals shall be provided.
14. Log-books shall be maintained for disposal of all types hazardous wastes and shall be submitted with the compliance report.
15. Process organic residue and spent carbon, if any, shall be sent to cement industries. ETP sludge, process inorganic & evaporation salt shall be disposed off to the TSDF.
16. The company shall undertake waste minimization measures as below:
 - a. Metering and control of quantities of active ingredients to minimize waste.
 - b. Reuse of by-products from the process as raw materials or as raw material substitutes in other processes.
 - c. Use of automated filling to minimize spillage.
 - d. Use of Close Feed system into batch reactors.
 - e. Venting equipment through vapour recovery system.
 - f. Use of high pressure hoses for equipment clearing to reduce wastewater generation.

(G) Green Belt

1. Green belt area shall be developed in area of 0.2018 ha. or 2018 sq. m. which is 37.40% of total area.
2. The green belt of 5-10 m width shall be developed 2018 sq. meter within and periphery of plant (310 no), in downward wind direction and along road sides etc. Selection of

plant species shall be as per the CPCB guide lines in consultation with the State Forest Department.

3. Peripheral plantation all around the project boundary shall be carried out using tall saplings of minimum 2 meters height of species which are fast growing with thick canopy cover preferably of perennial green nature. As proposed 620 no. of plants in two years shall be planted. PP will also make necessary arrangements for the causality replacement and maintenance of the plants.

(H) Safety, Public hearing and Human health issues

1. Emergency preparedness plan based on the Hazard identification and Risk Assessment (HIRA) and Disaster Management Plan shall be implemented.
2. The unit shall make the arrangement for protection of possible fire hazards during manufacturing process in material handling. Fire fighting system shall be as per the norms.
3. The PP shall provide Personal Protection Equipment (PPE) as per the norms of Factory Act.
4. Training shall be imparted to all employees on safety and health aspects of chemicals handling. Pre-employment and routine periodical medical examinations for all employees shall be undertaken on regular basis. Training to all employees on handling of chemicals shall be imparted.
5. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, creche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
6. Occupational health surveillance of the workers shall be done on a regular basis and records maintained as per the Factories Act.
7. There shall be adequate space inside the plant premises earmarked for parking of vehicles for raw materials and finished products, and no parking to be allowed outside on public places.

(I) EMP

1. The company shall have a well laid down environmental policy duly approve by the Board of Directors. The environmental policy should prescribe for standard operating procedures to have proper checks and balances and to bring into focus any infringements/deviation/violation of the environmental/ forest/ wildlife norms/

conditions. The company shall have defined system of reporting infringements / deviation / violation of the environmental / forest / wildlife norms / conditions and or shareholders /stake holders. The copy of the board resolution in this regard shall be submitted to the MoEF&CC as a part of six-monthly report.

2. A separate Environmental Cell both at the project and company head quarter level, with qualified personnel shall be set up under the control of senior Executive, who will directly to the head of the organization.
3. Fund should be exclusively earmarked for the implementation of EMP through a separate bank account.
4. The proposed EMP cost is Rs. 30.00 Lakhs as capital and 6.00 Lakhs /year as recurring cost.
5. Action plan for implementing EMP and environmental conditions along with responsibility matrix of the company shall be prepared and shall be duly approved by competent authority. The year wise funds earmarked for environmental protection measures shall be kept in separate account and not to be diverted for any other purpose. Year wise progress of implementation of action plan shall be reported to the Ministry/Regional Office along with the Six Monthly Compliance Report.
6. Self environmental audit shall be conducted annually. Every three years third party environmental audit shall be carried out.

X. Miscellaneous

1. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
2. The project authorities must strictly adhere to the stipulations made by the MP Pollution Control Board and the State Government.
3. The project proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report, commitment made during Public Hearing (if applicable) and also that during their presentation to the Expert Appraisal Committee.
4. No further expansion or modifications in the plant shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC).
5. The above conditions shall be enforced, inter-alia under the provisions of the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and Rules and any other

orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/ High Courts and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.

17. Case No 8033/2020 M/s Advanced Medical Science & Educational Society, Dr. Nidhi Chaudhary, Hospital & College Administrator, Inayatpur, Kolar Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 462042 Prior Environment Clearance for Construction of Advanced Medical Science & Educational Society, Inayatpur, Kolar Road, Dist. Bhopal, (MP)

This is case of Prior Environment Clearance for Construction of Advanced Medical Science & Educational Society, Inayatpur, Kolar Road, Dist. Bhopal, (MP). PP has submitted ToR application (Violation) under Cat. - 8(a) Building Construction Projects vide letter dated 09/11/2020 which was forwarded through SEIAA vide letter no. 5338 dated 29/12/2020, which was placed before the committee.

The case presented by PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted following points:-

- This is a Medical College and Hospital campus project on 1,01,300 sqm plot area. Construction of 300 bedded hospital with Medical College (150 student intake) & allied facilities is complete. The operation of the project has been allowed by Hon'ble Supreme Court and oversight committee appointed by Supreme Court. The case was filed against the project by Mr. Prem Dhingra and it was discussed in Hon'ble NGT and concluded. The only issue now is non compliance of EC conditions.
- For verification of compliance status Hon'ble NGT directed to SPCB to appoint subcommittee. The appointed subcommittee visited the site on 5th May, 2017 and submitted report on 15th May, 2017 which was discussed in Hon'ble NGT on 15th May, 2017. After going through the report Hon'ble NGT observed that EC conditions have been complied substantially but not in to.
- In the mean time MoEF&CC issued notification dated 14th March, 2017 for taking up the cases of violation. Hence NGT directed the PP to approach MoEF&CC violation committee for obtaining EC.
- The project was uploaded on Ministry portal on 1st July, 2017 and Project was considered for screening in EAC on 31st January 2018, decision was not taken then the case was transferred to SEIAA/ SEAC, M.P.

Chronology of the Events for the Project:

- Building Plan approved by Nagar Palika/ Parishad Kolar Distt. Bhopal on 16.04.2009.

- Project construction was started on 2009 after approval of building plan but without obtaining Environment Clearance.
- The project for 750 bedded Hospital and medical college campus was submitted to SEIAA, M.P. which was taken up in 87th SEAC (M.P.) Bhopal meeting dated 7th January, 2012 for appraisal.
- SEAC recommended the project for grant of EC in its 95th SEAC, MP meeting dated 8th May, 2012 with 42 conditions for compliance.
- The SEIAA (M.P.) concluded that this is a violation case and decided to take up action accordingly and simultaneously decided to fill up the gaps in data for grant of EC and conducted no. of meetings for this purpose.
- Proceedings for violation took up by SPCB and SEIAA in its 188th meeting dated 18th March, 2015 and decided to approve the EC for the project but the EC letter was not issued to the project.
- The case against the project was filed by Mr. Prem Dhingra to NGT dated 31st March 2015 later NGT formed the sub committee to visit the site.
- The proposal was closed from SEIAA on 03.04.2017 and transferred to MOEF&CC in light of MoEF&CC notification 14th March 2017.
- NGT issued the order dated 15th May 2017 to MoEF&CC to take reasonable view within one month after.
- The project was uploaded on Ministry portal on 1st July, 2017 for vide proposal no. IA/MP/NCP/65849/2017 as per Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 dealing with violation of EIA Notification, 2006.
- Project is considered for screening in EAC on 31st January 2018, decision was not taken.
- As per MoEF&CC OM dated 18th March 2018 the project is transferred to SEIAA/SEAC MP vide proposal no. SIA/MP/NCP/22831/2018 dated 28th March 2018.
- SEIAA MP generated EDS Letter regarding NGT order on 16th April 2018 but EDS was not closed.
- We have online applied the fresh proposal for TOR before SEIAA MP.

CONSTRUCTION STATUS AS ON DATE

S.No.	BUILDING BLOCKS CONSTRUCTED/ UNDER CONSTN AT SITE	COMPLETED & IN OPERATION	UNDER CONSTN (INCOMPLETE CONSTN- STOPPED)	TOTAL NO OF FLOORS PLANNED

	HOSPITAL BLOCK			
	A WING	G+4	NIL	G+4
	B WING	G+3	G+4	G+4
	C WING	G	G+1	G+2
	NON TEACHING STAFF HOSTEL	G	NIL	G
	NURSING HOSTEL	G	NIL	G
	BOYS HOSTEL	G+2	G+3	G+3
	GIRLS HOSTEL	G+2	G+3	G+3
	COLLEGE BLOCK	G+2	G+3	G+3
	LECTURE BLOCK	G	NIL	G
	RESIDENTIAL QUARTERS	G+2		G+3
	STORE BLOCK	G	NIL	G
	BMW/MSW COLLECTION/ STORAGE BLOCK	G	NIL	G
	GAS BANK (MANIFOLD)	G	NIL	G
SERVICES				
	STP	2 NOS	125+100	KLD
	ETP	1 No	50	KLD
	RWH STRUCTURES	4 Nos		
	DG SETS	2 Nos	125+250	KVA
	ESS			
	UNDER GROUND WATER TANK	1 No		

During appraisal of the case following issues were raised and PP was asked to submit relevant factual information for further consideration of this case:

1. A case is under consideration in Hon'ble NGT (case no. 31) as mentioned in the case file. PP was asked to submit complete chronology and details of the case till date, Issues raised in the case, order passed till date, their compliance status by PP etc.

2. Form-1 submitted by PP and their consultant is lacking many mandatory information (such as in column number 10, 1.5, 1.8, 1.9, 1.14, 1.16, 2.4 etc) PP was asked to submit complete details of the project which are lacking in from-1.
3. Status of case filed under E (P) Act, 1986. (If any).
4. Copy of application and all relevant documents filed in MoEF&CC on 01/07/2017(IA/MP/NCP/65849/2017) and transferee to SEIAA (SIA/MP/NCP/22831/2018) on dated 28/03/18.
5. Justification why new case is filed when PP has already filled application for EC (under violation) in MoEF&CC on 01/07/2017 (IA/MP/NCP/65849/2017) and same was transferred to SEIAA on 28/03/2018 (SIA/MP/NCP/22831/2018).
6. Is there any change in the application filed in MoEF&CC on dated 01/07/2017 and in SEIAA on dated 09/11/20?

Committee also observed that initially PP has filed an application for violation in the MoEF&CC which was also transferred to SEIAA on dated 28/03/18, thus committee also request SEIAA to sent these old files (if available with them IA/MP/NCP/65849/2017 and SIA/MP/NCP/22831/2018) to SEAC for appraisal.

SEIAA vide letter no. 7508 dated 24/03/21 forwarded the case file alongwith the reply submitted by PP vide letter dated 20/03/21. PP has submitted chronology of the case file in the Hon'ble NGT and other details.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted that they have filed an application in MoEF&CC on 01/07/2017 (IA/MP/NCP/65849/2017) and transferee to SEIAA (SIA/MP/NCP/22831/2018) on dated 28/03/18 within the window period and thus TOR may be issued to them for conducting EIA studies and submit necessary details. PP further submitted that there is no change in the details of project in the application submitted in MoEF&CC and SEIAA, MP.

Committee considering the recent GoI, MoEF & CC Notification dated 8th March, 2018 recommends that case may be dealt as per the provisions laid down in this notification and the project may granted Terms of Reference for undertaking Environment Impact Assessment and preparation of Environment Management Plan on assessment of ecological damage, remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and it shall be prepared as a independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultant and the collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be done by an environmental laboratory accredited by the National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories.

Hence committee recommended to issue additional TOR as per notification dated 08th March 2018 along with standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC for conducting the EIA as follows:-

1. Project description, its importance and the benefits.
2. Project site detail (location, toposheet of the study area of 10 Km, coordinates, google map, layout map, land use, geological features and geo-hydrological status of the study area, drainage.
3. Land use as per the approved Master Plan of the area, permission/approvals required from the land owning agencies, Development Authorities, Local Body, Water Supply & Sewerage Board etc.
4. Land acquisition status, R & R details.
5. Forest and Wildlife and eco-sensitive zones, if any in the study area of 10 Km Clearances required under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and/or the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
6. Baseline environmental study for ambient air (PM10, PN2.5, SO₂, NO_x & CO), water (both surface and ground), noise and soil for one month (except monsoon period) as per MoEF & CC/CPCB guidelines at minimum 5 locations in the study area of 10 Km.
7. Details on flora and fauna and socio-economic aspects in the study area.
8. Likely impact of the project on the environmental parameters (ambient air, surface and ground water, land, flora and fauna and socio-economic, etc.)
9. Sources of water for different identified purpose with the permissions required from the concerned authorities, both for surface water and the ground water (by CGWA) as the case may be, Rain water harvesting, etc.
10. Waste water management (treatment, reuse and disposal) for the project and also the study area
11. Management of solid waste and the construction & demolition waste for the project vis-à-vis the Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016 and the Construction & Demolition Rules, 2016.
12. Energy efficient measures (LED lights, solar power, etc) during construction as well as during operational phase of the project.
13. Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.

14. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
15. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultant.

18. Case No 8389/2021 Shri Anup S/o Shri Babulal Koshal, Village - Amjhera, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (14820 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1001), Village - Amjhera, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 1001), Village - Amjhera, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 423 dated: 18/02/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that river is in existence on the northern and eastern side of the lease at a distance of 50 meters for which PP submitted that they have proposed garland drains and settling tanks and only settled water will be allowed outside of the lease. Further it was observed that 01 tree is existing within lease area for which PP submitted that this tree shall be uprooted and 10 additional trees will be planted. During appraisal a pit was seen within sanctioned lease area for which PP submitted that it is very old pit and they have got this lease in the year 2019 in this condition and pit in existence since 2018 which can be verified by then google image. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 14,820 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 15.26 Lakh as capital and Rs 5.06 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

19. Case No 8395/2021 Shri Jagdish Prasad Bansal S/o Late Shri Babulal Bansal, Gautam Vihar Colony, Tehsil & Dist. Shivpuri, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone/Murram Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (Murram - 12500 cum per annum, Stone - 20500 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 735), Village - Gahloni, Tehsil - Shivpuri, Dist. Shivpuri (MP)

This is case of Stone/Murram Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 735), Village - Gahloni, Tehsil - Shivpuri, Dist. Shivpuri (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 7669 dated: 08/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein during scrutiny of documents it was observed by the committee that as per DFO letter dated 17/12/20 the lease is located 05 kms away from the Madhav Rashtriya Udhyan. PP submitted that as per MoEF&CC notification dated 13.09.2017, the lease is located outside of notified SEZ as it is located 05 kms away from the Madhav Rashtriya Udhyan. It was also observed that in the SW side of the lease habitation which is 300 m. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 12,500 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 27.48 Lakh as capital and Rs 4.40 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

20. Case No 8396/2021 Shri Lokesh Singh S/o Shri Babusingh Rajput, Village - Aslawada, Tehsil - Barnagar, Dist. Ujjain, MP - 459337 Prior Environment Clearance for Murram Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (Expansion from 5000 to 15000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 259), Village - Kalmoda, Tehsil - Barnagar, Dist. Ujjain (MP)

This is case of Murram Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 259), Village - Kalmoda, Tehsil - Barnagar,

Dist. Ujjain (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 1326 dated: 18/09/2018 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein PP submitted that it is a case of expansion from 5,000 to 15,000 cum per annum and they have obtained compliance report of earlier EC conditions issued vide letter number 213 dated 15/03/21. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that three NCs are reported in compliance report by competent authority are as:

- Plantation 45/ha., was proposed whereas only 20 trees are at site.
- Under CER no record was found for social upliftment.
- No water supply permission was taken by PP.

After presentation PP was asked to submit detailed reply with firm commitment and time schedule for further consideration of this case.

21. Case No 8398/2021 Smt. Rajeshwari Payak, Village - Pahagaon, Dist. Chhatarpur, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (40000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1277 dated: 22/02/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 08.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during presentation PP submitted that there are in all 04 mines and total area will be 20.0 ha., which can be verified through Khasra map attached with file. Committee decided that this is a case of B-1 and EIA shall be carried out by PP. Being it's a case Stone Quarry with total area of 20.00 ha. including this mine and according to the latest O.M F.No. L-11011/175/2018/-IA-II (M) dated 12/12/2018 if a cluster or an individual lease exceeds 5 ha the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance and thus committee decided to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC may be issued for conducting the EIA with following additional TORs and as per conditions mentioned in Annexure-D:-

- Cumulative impact of air, noise & traffic shall be incorporated in final EIA Report.
- The project proponent shall discuss the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area", and shall be discussed in the EIA report.
- Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
- Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
- Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
- Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.

22. Case No 8399/2021 Shri Vinay Rathore S/o Shri Amar Singh Rathore, Village - Prithvipur, Tehsil & Dist. Niwari, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (40000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 42), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 42), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1299 dated: 26/02/2021 has reported

that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 08.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during presentation PP submitted that there are in all 04 mines and total area will be 20.0 ha. which can be verified through Khasra map attached with file . Committee decided that this is a case of B-1 and EIA shall be carried out by PP. Being it's a case Stone Quarry with total area of 20.00 ha. including this mine and according to the latest O.M F.No. L-11011/175/2018/-IA-II (M) dated 12/12/2018 if a cluster or an individual lease exceeds 5 ha the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance and thus committee decided to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC may be issued for conducting the EIA with following additional TORs and as per conditions mentioned in Annexure-D:-

- Crusher is installed within the lease thus its copy of CTE/CTO shall be attached with EIA report with provision of 04 meters high wind breaking wall.
- Cumulative impact of air, noise & traffic shall be incorporated in final EIA Report.
- The project proponent shall discuss the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area", and shall be discussed in the EIA report.
- Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
- Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
- Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
- Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.

23. Case No 8407/2021 M/s V.V.C.M.K.S. Projects Pvt. Ltd, AB Road, Raghogarh, Dist. Guna, MP - 473226 Prior Environment Clearance for Murrum Quarry in an area of 3.0 ha. (15000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 17/1 (P)), Village - Radhopure, Tehsil - Raghogarh, Dist. Guna (MP)

This is case of Murrum Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 17/1 (P)), Village - Radhopure, Tehsil - Raghogarh, Dist. Guna (MP) 3.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 308 dated: 05/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of Murrum mining and thus no blasting is proposed. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that habitation is in existence at a distance of approx. 340 meters on the southern side of the lease and 05-06 trees are existing within lease area PP submitted that being Murrum mining these trees will not be uprooted and three rows plantation will be carried out on the habitation side to act as wind breaking wall. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Murrum as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 15,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 28.455 Lakh as capital and Rs 6.275Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

24. Case No 8408/2021 Shri Om Prakash Chaurasia, Maihar, Dist. Satna, MP - 485771 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.687 ha. (60000 Tonne per annum) (Khasra No. 42/1/1/Gha, 45/1/1/3, 42/1/1/Ga), Village - Reusa, Tehsil - Maihar, Dist. Satna (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 42/1/1/Gha, 45/1/1/3, 42/1/1/Ga), Village - Reusa, Tehsil - Maihar, Dist. Satna (MP) 1.687 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 2032 dated: 14/10/2020 has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.936 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that NH – 7 at a distance of 100 m on southern side , hutment/ settlement at 120 m in the eastern side , Kachcha road on west side at 15 m . PP submitted that no drilling and blasting is proposed and mining operations will be carried out through rock breaker. Crusher is also proposed in the west side of the lease. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure ‘A’:

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 60,000 Tonne/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.795 Lakh as capital and Rs 4.77 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

25. Case No 8401/2021 Smt. Preeti Gangwal, Village & Tehsil - Manawar, Dist. Dhar, MP - 454446 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (11640 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 311), Village - Toki, Tehsil - Manawar, Dist. Dhar (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 311), Village - Toki, Tehsil - Manawar, Dist. Dhar (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2151 dated: 08/12/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 5.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that a kachcha road is in existence on the northern side of the lease at a distance of approx. 60 meters. During appraisal it was submitted by PP that one tree will be uprooted and they have proposed 10 additional trees in the plantation scheme. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure ‘A’:

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 11,640 cum/year.

2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 13.75 Lakh as capital and Rs 4.73 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

26. Case No 8402/2021 M/s Radha Krishna Stone Works C/o Shri Sudhir Rai, Partner, Chopra Colony, PO - Maihar, Dist. Satna, MP - 485771 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.411 ha. (60000 Tonne per annum) (Khasra No. 597/1, 598), Village - Bathia, Tehsil - Maihar, Dist. Satna (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 597/1, 598), Village - Bathia, Tehsil - Maihar, Dist. Satna (MP) 1.411 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 332 dated: 18/01/2021 has reported that there are 03 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.782 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that a kachha road is in existence on the northern side of the lease at a distance of approx. 05 meters thus 05 meters setback shall be left as non mining area. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 60,000 Tonne/year.
2. Due to kachha road in existence on the northern side of the lease at a distance of approx. 05 meters, a setback 05 meters in the lease shall be left as non mining area.
3. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 23.99 Lakh as capital and Rs 4.31 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

27. Case No 8404/2021 Shri Harshvardhan Yadav, Infront of District Jail, Dist. Tikamgarh, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (40000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1298 dated: 26/02/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 08.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during presentation PP submitted that there are in all 04 mines and total area will be 20.0 ha., which can be verified through Khasra map attached with file . Committee decided that this is a case of B-1 and EIA shall be carried out by PP. Being it's a case Stone Quarry with total area of 20.00 ha. including this mine and according to the latest O.M F.No. L-11011/175/2018/-IA-II (M) dated 12/12/2018 if a cluster or an individual lease exceeds 5 ha the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance and thus committee decided to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC may be issued for conducting the EIA with following additional TORs and as per conditions mentioned in Annexure-D:-

- Cumulative impact of air, noise & traffic shall be incorporated in final EIA Report.
- The project proponent shall discuss the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area", and shall be discussed in the EIA report.
- Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
- Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
- Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
- Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.

28. Case No 8405/2021 Shri Priyank Jain, Civil Line, Prithivpur, Dist. Tikamgarh, MP - 472442 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (40000

cum per annum) (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 42 (P)), Village - Magrai, Tehsil - Mohangarh, Dist. Tikamgarh (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1297 dated: 26/02/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 08.0 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant during presentation PP submitted that there are in all 04 mines and total area will be 20.0 ha. which can be verified through Khasra map attached with file . Committee decided that this is a case of B-1 and EIA shall be carried out by PP. Being it's a case Stone Quarry with total area of 20.00 ha. including this mine and according to the latest O.M F.No. L-11011/175/2018/-IA-II (M) dated 12/12/2018 if a cluster or an individual lease exceeds 5 ha the EIA/EMP be made applicable in the process of grant of prior environmental clearance and thus committee decided to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC may be issued for conducting the EIA with following additional TORs and as per conditions mentioned in Annexure-D:-

- Cumulative impact of air, noise & traffic shall be incorporated in final EIA Report.
- The project proponent shall discuss the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area", and shall be discussed in the EIA report.
- Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
- Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
- Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
- Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.

29. Case No 8406/2021 Shri Nilesh Badukale, Bramhanwada, Tehsil - Chandurbajar, Dist. Amaravati, Mah. Prior Environment Clearance for Murrum Quarry in an area of 1.0 ha. (6270 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 127 (P)), Village - Dabhona, Tehsil - Athner, Dist. Betul (MP)

This is case of Murrum Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 127 (P)), Village - Dabhona, Tehsil - Athner, Dist. Betul (MP) 1.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 213 dated: 22/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of Murrum mining and thus no blasting is proposed. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Murrum as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 6,270 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 15.35 Lakh as capital and Rs 3.88 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

30. Case No 8387/2021 Shri Ram Pratap Singh Tomar S/o Shri Dharmendra Singh Tomar, Village - Vishanpura, Tehsil - Lidhora, Dist. Tikamgarh, MP - 472445 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (29982 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 151/2/1), Village - Dewri Bamnau, Tehsil - Niwari, Dist. Niwari (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 151/2/1), Village - Dewri Bamnau, Tehsil - Niwari, Dist. Niwari (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed

format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 6791 dated: 03/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that a kachha road is in existence on the NW side of the lease at a distance of approx. 70 meters and some trees about 20 nos. within the lease area. PP submitted that these trees will be uprooted after the approval of competent authority and ten times additional trees will be planted and budgetary allocations have been made in the proposed EMP. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 29,982 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 20.80 Lakh as capital and Rs 3.93 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

31. Case No 8385/2021 Shri Devi Dayal Prajapati S/o Shri Asha Ram Prajapati, Ward No. 1, Chhatarpura, Gohaad Gohadi, Dist. Bhind, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Soil Quarry in an area of 1.0 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 263, 234), Village - Sisoniya, Tehsil - Gohad, Dist. Bhind (MP)

This is case of Soil Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 263, 234), Village - Sisoniya, Tehsil - Gohad, Dist. Bhind (MP) 1.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2459 dated: 09/03/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 3.4 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any

commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings.

32. Case No 8383/2021 Shri Manish Jat S/o Shri Paramsukh Jat, R/o, Ward No. 3, Village - Dhanwada, Tehsil - Khirkiya, Dist. Harda, MP - 461441 Prior Environment Clearance for Murram Quarry in an area of 2.348 ha. (15875 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 10 Part), Village - Dhanwada, Tehsil - Khirkiya, Dist. Harda (MP)

This is case of Murram Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 10 Part), Village - Dhanwada, Tehsil - Khirkiya, Dist. Harda (MP) 2.348 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 2585 dated: 11/02/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine. The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of Murram mining and thus no blasting is proposed. It was observed during presentation that a pucca road (western side) and a kachha road (southern side) are in existence at a distance of 380 meters and 180 meters respectively. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Murram as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 15,875 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 17.68 Lakh as capital and Rs 1.17 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

33. Case No 8390/2021 M/s Maa Laxmi Stone Crusher, Partner, Shri Manish Thakur S/o Shri Bane Singh Thakur, G-74, Maa Laxmi Nagar, Nandan Nivas, Near Gram - Panda, Tehsil - Mhow, Dist. Indore, MP - 453441 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (20000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 209/2, 209/3, 210/2, 210/3, 211/2/2 Part), Village - Sikkhedi, Tehsil - Sonkatch, Dist. Dewas (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 209/2, 209/3, 210/2, 210/3, 211/2/2 Part),

Village - Sikkhedi, Tehsil - Sonkatch, Dist. Dewas (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 628 dated: 03/03/2021 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 4.770 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During presentation it was observed that 06 trees are existed within lease area and PP submitted that no tree felling is proposed. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 20,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 27.50 Lakh as capital and Rs. 3.62 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

34. Case No 8400/2021 Shri Sanjay Kasal S/o Shri Dhanendra Kasal, Ward No. 13, Jain Mohalla, Waraseoni, Dist. Balaghat, MP - 481331 Prior Environment Clearance for Dolomite Quarry in an area of 3.89 ha. (56610 Tonne per annum) (Khasra No. 330/1, 330/2, 331), Village - Piparwani, Tehsil - Kurai, Dist. Seoni (MP)

This is case of Dolomite Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 330/1, 330/2, 331), Village - Piparwani, Tehsil - Kurai, Dist. Seoni (MP) 3.89 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 2758 dated: 27/03/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. During appraisal it was observed by the committee that a pucca road is in existence on the North side of the lease at a distance of

approx. 10 meters thus 40 meters setback shall be left as non mining area. PP also submitted that forest area is <250 meters but since the lease was sanctioned prior 07/10/2002, permission of district level committee is not required as per GoMP letter no. F/5/16/8/10-3 dated 07/10/2002. It was further observed by the committee that some trees (08-10) within the lease area. PP submitted that these trees will be uprooted after the approval of competent authority and ten times additional trees will be planted and budgetary allocations have been made in the proposed EMP. A water body also exists at a distance of 275 m on the NE side. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- PP commitment that leases area was granted in the year 2002 and was in operation till year 2014 on the basis of CTO permission issued by MPPCB.
- No mining activity was carried out since year 2014.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 31.03.2021. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Dolomite as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 56,610 Tonne/year.
2. 40 m area shall be left as non – mining area towards northern side.
3. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 21.44 Lakh as capital and Rs 1.99 Lakh/year as recurring has proposed by PP.

35. Case No 8403/2021 Shri Kedar Prasad Gupta S/o Shri Sambhudayal Gupta, Shree Hospital Ka Bagal Me, Dist. Katni, MP - 483501 Prior Environment Clearance for Flag Stone Quarry in an area of 1.99 ha. (2033 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1250/3), Village - Dang, Tehsil - Rithi, Dist. Katni (MP)

This is case of Flag Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 1250/3), Village - Dang, Tehsil - Rithi, Dist. Katni (MP) 1.99 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office letter no. 1178 dated: 12/03/2021 has reported

that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 3.13 ha., including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant PP submitted that being case of Flag stone wherein no blasting is proposed. During presentation it was observed by the committee that 0- 04 m soil is shown in the lease area, which appears to be unrealistic. PP submitted that it's a typographical error and they will submit revised soil management plan as top soil is from 0-01 meters. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- PP was asked to submit soil volume of the lease area with soil management plan.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 31.03.2021. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production shall be as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding for Flag Stone 2,033 cum/annum.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs.19.68 Lakh as capital and Rs. 01.70 Lakh/year has proposed.

Discussion based on query reply submitted by PP

36. Case No 8327/2021 Shri Prem Singh Thakur S/o Shri Somandar Singh Thakur, Medikiroad Water Works, Dist. Dewas, MP - 453220 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.0 ha. (3469 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 199, 201), Village - Pitawali, Tehsil - Dewas, Dist. Dewas (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 199, 201), Village - Pitawali, Tehsil - Dewas, Dist. Dewas (MP) 1.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 355 dated:

17/02/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 491st SEAC meeting dated 18/3/21 wherein during presentation it was observed by the committee that as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP the entire lease area is surrounded by agriculture land. Moreover, PP stated that this is a private land. Committee after deliberation decided that mining on agricultural field shall not be encouraged and thus asked PP to submit soil testing analysis report particularly w.r.t. Nitrogen (N), Phosphorous (P) and Potassium (K) values from their field from any Government approved Soil Testing Laboratory for further consideration of the case.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 23.03.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 3,469 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 13.75 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.64 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

37. Case No 8298/2021 Shri Bhojraj Komadiya S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Komadiya, Village - Rajgarh, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Murrum Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (25000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1207), Village - Ringnod, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar (MP)

This is case of Murrum Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 1207), Village - Ringnod, Tehsil - Sardarpur, Dist. Dhar (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 404 dated: 17/02/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 489th SEAC meeting dated 12/3/21 wherein during presentation it was observed that the lease area is already excavated. PP submitted that this is a case of capacity expansion of Murrum quarry from 7750 cum per annum to 25,000 cum per annum. Committee asked PP to submit MoEF&CC compliance report then after case shall be appraised.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 24.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that as per the compliance report, the compliance of condition number 09,10,11 is not given in the report. PP has also not planted the trees in the desired numbers and expenses made for the CSR are also not submitted. After deliberations, PP was asked to submit response on above issues.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 24.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that incomplete reply is submitted by PP and no commitment / documents are submitted by PP for reported NC's. Committee decided that PP may be asked to submit reply on NC's reported in compliance report for further appraisal of this case.

38. Case No 8302/2021 Shri Santosh Meena S/o Shri Champalal Meena, H.No. 213, Gram - Bhouri, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 462042 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 3.840 ha. (Stone - 21756 cum per annum, Murrum/Kopra - 15860 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43), Village - Barkheda Salam, Tehsil - Huzur, Dist. Bhopal (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43), Village - Barkheda Salam, Tehsil - Huzur, Dist. Bhopal (MP) 3.840 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 5502 dated: 31/12/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 488th SEAC meeting dated 10/3/21 wherein it was observed by the committee that it's a case of Stone mining. As per Google image it was also observed that 4-5 other leases are seen within 500 whereas M.O. has not stated any mine. Moreover, warehouse/ godowns are also located in the NE side of the lease. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Justification w.r.t. 4-5 other leases are seen within 500, whereas M.O. has not stated any mine.
- Compliance of NGT order w.r.t. warehouse located in the NE side of the lease.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 23.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that MO has given reply to the query and PP has proposed non mining area from the warehouse upto 100 meters and further from 100 meters to 200 meters, no blasting will be carried out and mining will be done through rock breaker and submitted an affidavit for the same which is found satisfactory. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding for Stone 21756 cum per annum and Murrum/Kopra 15860 cum per annum.
2. Non mining area shall be left from the warehouse upto 100 meters in the lease and further from 100 meters to 200 meters within lease, no blasting will be carried out and mining will be done through rock breaker.
3. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.98 Lakh as capital and Rs 04.46 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

39. Case No 8338/2021 M/s Gawar Construction Ltd, Shri Parveen Kumar Jangra, Authorized Person, DSS-378, Sector 16-17, Dist. Hisaar, Haryana - 125005 Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 2.50 ha. (2,50,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 2602), Village - Sihore, Tehsil - Narwar, Dist. Shivpuri (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 2602), Village - Sihore, Tehsil - Narwar, Dist. Shivpuri (MP) 2.50 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Pramanpatra) letter no. 7652 dated: 06/03/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 491st SEAC meeting dated 18/3/21 wherein during scrutiny of documents it was observed by the committee that as per Ekal Pramanpatra issued vide letter number 7652 dated 06/03/20, the lease is located 05 kms away from the Son Charriya Abhayaran. PP submitted that as per MoEF&CC notification dated 15/09/17, the lease is located outside of notified SEZ as it is located 05 kms away from the Son Charriya Abhayaran. Committee asked PP to submit report issued by CF/DFO in this regard for further appraisal of this case.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 26.03.2021, which was placed before the committee wherein committee observed that DFO has given NOC vide letter no. 1068 dated 24/02/21 for sanctioning of TP. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

1. Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,50,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 13.75 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.64 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

(Dr. Mohd. Akram Khan)
Member

(Dr. R. Maheshwari)
Member

(Dr. Rubina Chaudhary)
Member

(Dr. Sonal Mehta)
Member

(Dr. J. P. Shukla)
Member

(Dr. Anil Sharma)
Member

(A. A. Mishra)
Secretary

(Mohd. Kasam Khan)
Chairman

Following standard conditions shall be applicable for the mining projects of minor mineral in addition to the specific conditions and cases appraised for grant of TOR:

Annexure- 'A'

Standard conditions applicable to Stone/Murram and Soil quarries:

1. Mining should be carried out as per the submitted land use plan and approved mine plan.
2. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars and fenced from all around the site. Necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
3. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
4. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
5. Mineral evacuation road shall be made pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
6. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
7. Crusher with inbuilt APCD & water sprinkling system shall be installed minimum 100 meters away from the road and 500 meters away from the habitations only after the permissions of MP Pollution Control Board with atleast 03 meters high wind breaking wall of suitable material to avoid fugitive emissions.
8. Thick plantation shall be carryout in the periphery/barrier zone of the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. Top soil shall be simultaneously used for the plantation within the lease area and no OB/dump shall be stacked outside the lease area. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
9. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
10. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.
11. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
12. To avoid vibration, no overcharging shall be carried out during blasting and muffle blasting shall be adopted. Blasting shall be carried out through certified blaster only and no explosive will be stored at mine site without permission from the competent authority.
13. Mine water should not be discharged from the lease and be used for sprinkling & plantations. For surface runoff and storm water garland drains and settling tanks (SS pattern) of suitable sizes shall be provided.
14. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.

15. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
16. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area. PP shall take Socio-economic activities in the region through the 'Gram Panchayat'.
17. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
18. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
19. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
20. All the mines where production is > 50,000 cum/year, PP shall develop its own website to display various mining related activities proposed in EMP & CER along with budgetary allocations. All the six monthly progress report shall also be uploads on this website along with MoEF&CC & SEIAA, MP with relevant photographs of various activities such as garland drains, settling tanks, plantation, water sprinkling arrangements, transportation & haul road etc. PP or Mine Manager shall be made responsible for its maintenance & regular updation.
21. All the soil queries, the maximum permitted depth shall not exceed 02 meters below general ground level & other provisions laid down in MoEF&CC OM No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 24/06/2013.
22. The mining lease holders shall after ceasing mining operation, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora , fauna etc. Moreover, A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
23. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
24. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
25. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
26. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
 - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
 - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
 - c. Production capacity of the project.

Annexure- 'B'

Standard conditions applicable for the Sand Mine Quarries*

1. District Authority should annually record the deposition of sand in the lease area (at an interval of 100 meters for leases 10 ha or > 10.00 ha and at an interval of 50 meters for leases < 10 ha.) before monsoon

& in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority shall allow lease holder to excavate only the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.

2. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars. Necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
3. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
4. Only registered vehicles/tractor trolleys which are having the necessary registration and permission for the aforesaid purpose under the Motor Vehicle Act and also insurance coverage for the same shall alone be used for said purpose.
5. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
6. Mineral evacuation road shall be made Pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
7. Sand and gravel shall not be extracted up to a distance of 1 kilometer (1Km) from major bridges and highways on both sides, or five times (5x) of the span (x) of a bridge/public civil structure (including water intake points) on up-stream side and ten times (10x) the span of such bridge on down-stream side, subjected to a minimum of 250 meters on the upstream side and 500 meters on the downstream side.
8. Mining depth should be restricted to 3 meters or water level, whichever is less and distance from the bank should be 1/4th or river width and should not be less than 7.5 meters. No in-stream mining is allowed. Established water conveyance channels should not be relocated, straightened, or modified.
9. Demarcation of mining area with pillars and geo-referencing should be done prior to the start of mining.
10. PP shall carry out independent environmental audit atleast once in a year by reputed third party entity and report of such audit be placed on public domain.
11. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
12. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mine plan and in accordance with the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines, 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining, 2020 issued by the MoEF&CC ensuring that the annual replenishment of sand in the mining lease area is sufficient to sustain the mining operations at levels prescribed in the mining plan.
13. If the stream is dry, the excavation must not proceed beyond the lowest undisturbed elevation of the stream bottom, which is a function of local hydraulics, hydrology, and geomorphology.
14. After mining is complete, the edge of the pit should be graded to a 2.5:1 slope in the direction of the flow.
15. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
16. Thick plantation shall be carryout on the banks of the river adjacent to the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
17. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
18. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire

Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.

19. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
20. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
21. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
22. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area.
23. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
24. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
25. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
26. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
27. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
27. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
28. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
29. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
 - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
 - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
 - c. Production capacity of the project.
28. Following conditions must be implemented by PP in case of sand mining as per NGT (CZ) order dated 19/10/2020 in OA NO. 66/2020 and SEIAA's instruction vide letter No. 5084 dated 09/12/2020.
 - i. The Licensee must use minimum number of poclains and it should not be more than two in the project site.
 - ii. The District Administration should assess the site for Environmental impact at the end of first year to permit the continuation of the operation.
 - iii. The ultimate working depth shall be 01 m from the present natural river bed level and the thickness of the sand available shall be more than 03 m the proposed quarry site.

- iv. The sand quarrying shall not be carried out below the ground water table under any circumstances. In case, the ground water table occurs within the permitted depth at 01 meter, quarrying operation shall be stopped immediately.
- v. The sand mining should not disturb in any way the turbidity, velocity and flow pattern of the river water.
- vi. The mining activity shall be monitored by the Taluk level Force once in a month by conducting physical verification.
- vii. After closure of the mining, the licensee shall immediately remove all the sheds put up in the quarry and all the equipments used for operation of sand quarry. The roads/pathways shall be leveled to let the river resume its normal course without any artificial obstruction to the extent possible.
- viii. The mined out pits to be backfilled where warranted and area should be suitable landscaped to prevent environmental degradation.
- ix. PP shall adhere to the norms regarding extent and depth of quarry as per approved mining plan. The boundary of the quarry shall be properly demarcated by PP.

Annexure- 'C'

Standard conditions applicable for the Sand deposits on Agricultural Land/ Khodu Bharu Type Sand Mine Quarries*

1. Mining should be done only to the extent of reclaiming the agricultural land.
2. Only deposited sand is to be removed and no mining/digging below the ground level is allowed.
3. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mining plan.
4. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars and necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
5. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit gate of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
6. The mining activity shall be done as per approved mine plan and as per the land use plan submitted by PP.
7. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
8. Mineral evacuation road shall be made Pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
9. For carrying out mining in proximity to any bridge and/or embankment, appropriate safety zone on upstream as well as on downstream from the periphery of the mining site shall be ensured taking into account the structural parameters, location aspects, flow rate, etc., and no mining shall be carried out in the safety zone.
10. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
11. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mine plan and in accordance with the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines, 2016 issued by the MoEF&CC.
12. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
13. Thick plantation shall be carryout on the banks of the river adjacent to the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality

- replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
14. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
 15. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.
 16. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
 17. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
 18. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
 19. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area.
 20. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
 21. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
 22. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
 23. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
 24. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
 25. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
 26. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
 27. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
 - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
 - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
 - c. Production capacity of the project.

Annexure- 'D'

General conditions applicable for the granting of TOR

1. The date and duration of carrying out the baseline data collection and monitoring shall be informed to the concerned Regional Officer of the M.P Pollution Control Board.
2. During monitoring, photographs shall be taken as a proof of the activity with latitude & longitude, date, time & place and same shall be attached with the EIA report. A drone video showing various sensitivities of the lease and nearby area shall also be shown during EIA presentation.
3. An inventory of various features such as sensitive area, fragile areas, mining / industrial areas, habitation, water-bodies, major roads, etc. shall be prepared and furnished with EIA.
4. An inventory of flora & fauna based on actual ground survey shall be presented.
5. Risk factors with their management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
6. The EIA report should be prepared by the accredited consultant having no conflict of interest with any committee processing the case.
7. The EIA document shall be printed on both sides, as far as possible.
8. All documents should be properly indexed, page numbered.
9. Period/date of data collection should be clearly indicated.
10. The letter /application for EC should quote the SEIAA case No./year and also attach a copy of the letter prescribing the TOR.
11. The copy of the letter received from the SEAC prescribing TOR for the project should be attached as an annexure to the final EIA/EMP report.
12. The final EIA/EMP report submitted to the SEIAA must incorporate all issues mentioned in TOR and that raised in Public Hearing with the generic structure as detailed out in the EIA report.
13. Grant of TOR does not mean grant of EC.
14. The status of accreditation of the EIA consultant with NABET/QCI shall be specifically mentioned. The consultant shall certify that his accreditation is for the sector for which this EIA is prepared. If consultant has engaged other laboratory for carrying out the task of monitoring and analysis of pollutants, a representative from laboratory shall also be present to answer the site specific queries.
15. On the front page of EIA/EMP reports, the name of the consultant/consultancy firm along with their complete details including their accreditation, if any shall be indicated. The consultant while submitting the EIA/EMP report shall give an undertaking to the effect that the prescribed TORs (TOR proposed by the project proponent and additional TOR given by the MOEF & CC) have been complied with and the data submitted is factually correct.
16. While submitting the EIA/EMP reports, the name of the experts associated with involved in the preparation of these reports and the laboratories through which the samples have been got analyzed should be stated in the report. It shall be indicated whether these laboratories are approved under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and also have NABL accreditation.
17. All the necessary NOC's duly verified by the competent authority should be annexed.
18. PP has to submit the copy of earlier Consent condition /EC compliance report, whatever applicable along with EIA report.
19. The EIA report should clearly mention activity wise EMP and CER cost details and should depict clear breakup of the capital and recurring costs along with the timeline for incurring the capital cost. The basis of allocation of EMP and CER cost should be detailed in the EIA report to enable the comparison of compliance with the commitment by the monitoring agencies.
20. A time bound action plan should be provided in the EIA report for fulfillment of the EMP commitments mentioned in the EIA report.
21. The name and number of posts to be engaged by the PP for implementation and monitoring of environmental parameters should be specified in the EIA report.

22. EIA report should be strictly as per the TOR, comply with the generic structure as detailed out in the EIA notification, 2006, baseline data is accurate and concerns raised during the public hearing are adequately addressed.
23. The EIA report should be prepared by the accredited consultant having no conflict of interest with any committee processing the case.
24. Public Hearing has to be carried out as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The issues raised in public hearing shall be properly addressed in the EMP and suitable budgetary allocations shall be made in the EMP and CER based on their nature.
25. Actual measurement of top soil shall be carried out in the lease area at minimum 05 locations and additionally N, P, K and Heavy Metals shall be analyzed in all soil samples. Additionally in one soil sample, pesticides shall also be analysed.
26. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
27. PP shall submit biological diversity report stating that there is no adverse impact in- situ and on surrounding area by this project on local flora and fauna's habitat, breeding ground, corridor/ route etc. This report shall be filed annually with six-monthly compliance report.
28. The project proponent shall provide the mitigation measures as per MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area" with EIA report.

FOR PROJECTS LOCATED IN SCHEDULED (V) TRIBAL AREA , following should be studied and discussed in EIA Report before Public Hearing as per the instruction of SEIAA vide letter No. 1241 dated 30/07/2018.

29. Detailed analysis by a National Institute of repute of all aspects of the health of the residents of the Schedule Tribal block.
30. Detailed analysis of availability and quality of the drinking water resources available in the block.
31. A study by CPCB of the methodology of disposal of industrial waste from the existing industries in the block, whether it is being done in a manner that mitigate all health and environmental risks.
32. The consent of Gram Sabha of the villages in the area where project is proposed shall be obtain.