

## **1.0 Opening Remarks of the Chairman**

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee (**Annexure-1**) and thereafter agenda item taken up for deliberations. The list of participants present during meeting is annexed as **Annexure-2**.

## **2.0 Consideration of the Projects**

*Following issues were discussed and decided:-*

- A. 19 proposals were invited for TOR / EIA / Query reply deliberations. Out of these proposals only 07 proposals could be presented before the committee. Due to time constraint remaining cases were postponed to next meeting for presentation. Replies submitted by the proponents of 06 cases on queries were also discussed.
- B. The next meetings (i.e. the 18<sup>th</sup> & 19<sup>th</sup> Meetings) were confirmed for the 30<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>st</sup> January 2009 respectively.
- C. It was decided that TOR for mining projects should include, "Public hearing intimation should be given to the concerned Panchayat, Janpad Panchayat and Village Kotwar and to the adjoining Panchayats." Copies of the letters of above intimation should be furnished with EIA.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**3.0 Deliberations:**

**1. 225/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Alcon Laboratory & Industries (India), D1- 113/114, Sector -5, Devendra Nagar, Raipur (CH)**

Chaparwaha Dolomite & Limestone mine 19.716 hectare at village - Chaparwaha Tehsil: Murwara Distt.: Katni (M.P)

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

**2. 25/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Shri Ashok Kumar Dubey, Nadi Par, Katni, (M.P.)**

*Project: Badari Limestone Mine Vill: Badari, Teh: Vijayragogarh Distt. Katni (M.P)*

The mining projects of <50 ha or > 5 ha of mining lease area are listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued to the proponent by MPSEAC vide letter no. 111 dated 04/06/08 in the first SEAC meeting held on 9/04/2008. Project proponent has submitted EIA along with the proceedings of public hearing and was called upon for deliberation on the same.

Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

3

the project and the EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF. Based on the questions raised by the committee, the proponent is required to submit reply to the following queries:

1. To furnish the calculations pertaining to the recharge taking place. Year-wise draw down net draw down in the ground water at the end of mining.
2. Based on above data evaluation for the period that would be required for complete restoration of the hydrology of the lease area has to be made and furnished.
3. It has been proposed that one of the pit after excavation shall be used to trap the rainwater. Based on the dimension of the pits quantification of the water that shall be trapped every year has to be furnished.
4. Leachate analyses and the soil chemistry of the lease area have to be furnished.

**Other Queries to be replied:**

1. The secondary meteorological data are reported for the duration of 1951-1980 which appears to be old the same may be updated.
2. As reported in criteria for site selection for AAQM points, the stations which gave higher 'ajk' values were selected for monitoring. Please refer table 3 at sl.no.11, kalhara has 'ajk' value of 1.124 which is higher than 'deosari'. Why kalhara was not considered for AAQM stations.
3. The selected monitoring locations such as Deosari, Deori, Majhagawan are not marked on AAQ location maps. GLC for CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and HC is not interpreted.
4. Ambient air quality monitoring has not been done in the western part of mines, where as no monitoring has been done in the eastern region.
5. Ambient noise level monitoring map is also incorrect. All the sampling points mentioned in EIA are not shown in the location map. (P/33).
6. Results have not been discussed with justified reasons for the measured values; the results should be supported with the field observations.
7. In the questionnaire submitted with EIA, proper information is not submitted in certain sections such as 21 (a, b), 28.2, 39(d), 40 c (1) etc.
8. Certain information in EIA does not match with the provisions of Mining Plan as approved by the IBM, such as

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

4

- As per old mining plan the production capacity was 21000 MT whereas the exploitation carried out during the year 2006-07 is shown to be 45500 MT.
  - The highest production as per the mining plan is 80736 t whereas EC has been demanded for only 80,000 T.
  - Site elevation has been quoted as 380-382 m whereas the reclamation has been proposed up to 381 m the issue may be clarified.
9. If 1 Ha of area has been reclaimed than what is the size of existing pit.
  10. The GW table, Potential, Use etc. has not been reported with supportive data from the concerned department.
  11. Testing of each batch of surplus water generated from mine pits has to be ensured so as to estimate the heavy metals in it which is expected from the residues of the explosives used in the blasting.
  12. Prominent sources of drinking water in the region have not been spelt out in the report. Plantation scheme should also be submitted.
  13. 1.0 Ha of land has been claimed to be reclaimed; what is the size of the existing pit.

**PUBLIC HEARING POINTS**

- ❖ Accidental deaths in the mining region have been reported in the public hearing (page 40-41), proponent has not addressed these in the reply / response.

**3. 08/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Shri Prashant Gupta M/s. Shakanbhari Mines & Minerals, “Rajnigandha” Main Road, Kamptee – 441 002, Dist. Nagpur (MH)**

M/s. Shakanbhari Mines & Minerals, Gowari, Wadona, Manga. ore Mine, Vill. Gowani Wadona, Tehsil Sausar, Dist. Chhindwara (6.607 Ha)

The mining projects of <50 ha or > 5 ha of mining lease area are listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under ‘B’ Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued to the proponent by MPSEAC vide letter no. 115 dated 04/06/08 in the first SEAC meeting held on 9/04/2008. Project proponent has submitted EIA along with the proceedings of public hearing and was called upon for deliberation on the same.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

5

Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF. Based on the questions raised by the committee, the proponent is required to submit reply to the following queries:

1. Hydrology studies with respect to the River Kanhan may be submitted.
2. Leachate study to be submitted.
3. Soil analyses with respect to manganese and SAR to be submitted.

**Other Queries to be replied:**

- ❖ In the GHR it has been suggested that the surplus water from the mines may be supplied to the Boregaon percolation tank for use as cattle feed and other domestic purpose. Testing of each batch of surplus water has to be ensured prior to sending it to the tank.
- ❖ Monitoring has been conducted during March 2008 to May 2008 whereas the application was filed in March 2008 itself and TOR was issued in May 2008, this means that the monitoring was started prior to application and TOR, justification may be provided.
- ❖ Questionnaire has not been submitted with EIA.
- ❖ Although clear map depicting the land use in the study area has not been furnished but it appears that the proposed site is in proximity to the PF/RF owing to which PP has to submit NOC from the concerned forest official to carry out the activity. Plantation scheme should also be submitted.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

6

**4. 06/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Agrawal Mineral Process, Nagpur Road, Chhindwara, PIN 480 001**

M/s. Agarwal Minerals & Process, Gwari-Wadhona Manganese Ore mine, Vill. Gwari-Wadhona, Tehsil Sausar, Dist. Chhindwara

The mining projects of <50 ha or > 5 ha of mining lease area are listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued to the proponent by MPSEAC vide letter no. 99 dated 04/06/08 in the first SEAC meeting held on 9/04/2008. Project proponent has submitted EIA along with the proceedings of public hearing and was called upon for deliberation on the same.

Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF. Based on the questions raised by the committee, the proponent is required to submit reply to the following queries:

1. Hydrology studies with respect to the River Kanhan may be submitted.
2. Leachate study to be submitted.
3. Soil analyses with respect to manganese and SAR to be submitted.

**Other Queries to be replied:**

- ❖ In the GHR it has been suggested that the surplus water from the mines may be supplied to the Boregaon percolation tank for use as cattle feed and other domestic purpose. Testing of each batch of surplus water has to be ensured prior to sending it to the tank.
- ❖ Monitoring has been conducted during March 2008 to May 2008 whereas the application was filed in March 2008 itself and TOR was issued in May 2008, this

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

7

means that the monitoring was started prior to application and TOR, justification may be provided.

- ❖ Questionnaire has not been submitted with EIA.
- ❖ Although clear map depicting the land use in the study area has not been furnished but it appears that the proposed site is in proximity to the PF/RF owing to which PP has to submit NOC from the concerned forest official to carry out the activity. Plantation scheme may also be submitted.

**5. 235/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Shri Rajeev Chadha Opp- GS College Civil Lines Jabalpur M.P**

Laterite, yellow ochre, iron ore, Blue dust mine 7.310 Ha at village Gandhigram Teh: Sihora, Jabalpur (M.P)

The mining projects of <50 ha or > 5 ha of mining lease area are listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued to the proponent by MoEF. Project proponent has submitted EIA along with the proceedings of public hearing and was called upon for deliberation on the same.

Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF. Based on the questions raised by the committee, the proponent is required to submit reply to the following queries:

1. Clear maps of geological section to be submitted.
2. Sulphur analyses in Ochre have to be carried out and reported.
3. Ore analyses with respect to TiO<sub>2</sub> should be reexamined and submitted.
4. The proposed beneficiation plant is not covered in the initial proposal and TOR issued by MoEF, hence shall not be considered.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

8

5. Leachate study to be submitted.

**Other queries to be replied:**

- ❖ Original current lease deed with a photocopy to be furnished.
- ❖ Original approved mining plan with modification on the additional minerals be furnished.
- ❖ The wind roses furnished are not readable due to poor printing, needs to be resubmitted.
- ❖ Testing of each batch of surplus water generated from mine pits has to be ensured.
- ❖ Prominent sources of drinking water in the region have not been spelt out in the report.
- ❖ Plantation scheme may be submitted along with selection of species.

**6. 238/2008**

**For EIA Presentation**

**Shri P.S. Marwah Birla Corporation Ltd. Cement Division P.O. Birla Vikas, Satna (M.P)**

Coal washery Plant of Capacity 0.96 MTPA Raghuraj Nagar Satna

Coal washeries are covered under the category B 2(a) projects with capacity of <1million ton/annum throughput of coal as per the EIA Notification 2006.

Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF vide letter J-11015/2008-IA.11 (M) dated 18<sup>th</sup> March 2008.

Based on the questions raised by the committee, the proponent is required to submit reply to the following queries:

1. Capacity of the reservoir from which water is being used to be evaluated and reported.
2. Monitoring of carbon-monoxide has to be carried out and reported in view of existing

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**



**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

9

- thermal power plant.
3. Units used to report the water quality have to be verified and reexamined.
  4. Leachate study of the nearby soil has to be carried out and reported.
  5. Total plantation has to be enhanced possibly up to 40% in view of the proximity to settlement.

**Other queries to be replied:**

- It is mentioned that mine sump water will be used for drinking purpose after treatment. But the details of proposed treatment plan are missing (P/13).
- Water balance diagram should be provided clearly indicating usage of fresh water and recycled water in the process as it is mentioned that there will be “no effluent discharged”.
- In present land use pattern of study area (10 KM radius) the forest area is shown on NIL (P/36) while in table at P/5 it is clearly stated that Naro Protected forest and Jamori Reserve forest are within the distance of 9.75 and 7.15 KMs respectively of the project area.
- The Environment Management Cell is stated to be working for existing plant but its constitution is missing because the same cell will be working in this project (P/55).
- In chapter V “Environment Monitoring Programme” scheduled of monitoring should be spelled out which is missing for AAQ and water.
- Year wise plantation scheduled is missing (P/87).
- Rs. 1.20 Cr. are budgeted for Environment Protection Measures but their detailed breakup is missing (P/101)

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**7. 178/2008**

**For Query Presentation**

**Mr. Gurcharan Singh, Director, BLA Power Palnt Pvt. Ltd., 84, Makers Chambers III,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai. 40021**

Gadarwara Thermal Power Project, Village-Nimrani, The.-Gadarwara, Dist-Narsinghpur, M.P.  
2x70 MW coal based Thermal Power plant

Thermal Power Plants proposed for generation of < 500 MW (coal/lignite/naptha & gas based), are listed at SN 1(d) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. The project proponent aided with his consultant presented the replies to the queries made by the SEAC in 12th meeting of SEAC dated 26/09/2008.

Based on the query presentation and submission of the requisite documents the SEAC recommends the case for issue of EC.

**8. 108/2008**

**Commissioner Municipal Corporation Jabalpur**

Construction of Municipal Landfill site of Jabalpur under Project Udai

Common Municipal Solid Waste Management Facility (CMSWMF) are listed at S.N. 7(i) of Schedule under B category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. The project proponent aided with his consultant presented the case before the committee. The committee recommended to issue standard TOR for EIA.

**9. 208/2008**

**Sh. Ramzan bhai, S/o Sh. Mohammad Ismil, barhi Raad, near Khirahni Fatak, Katni, Dist. katni**

Project: Fire clay, Laterite, red ochre and red Oxide mine of Ramzan bhai. Village-Suddi, RIC Badwara, the. Badwara, Dist- Katni. Mining Lease Area 6.00 Ha. Prod. Cap. 4000 MT/month.

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**10. 212/2008**

**Sh. Pushpendra Singh , hazari, Hazari ward, PO- Hatta, District- Damoh.**

Lime stone mine of Sh. Pushpendra singh hazari, PO-Hatta, District-Damoh Mining Lease Area 5.66 Ha. Prod. Cap. 12,000 T/A.

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

**11. 215/2008**

**Quarry lease deed 7.967 hect. at village Badagaon**

M/s Guru Kripa Stone cutting mines & mineral industries In front of Shabd Pratap Ashsram Rampuri Colony Behind Rajasthan Emporium Gwalior (M.P)

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

**12. 226/2008**

**R.K. Jain, R.K. Minerals Corporation Sawarkar Ward Nai Basti Katni (M.P)**

Lime & Clay mine 40 ha, Village - Kandari, Tehsil- Banda, Distt. Sagar (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**13. 227/2008**

**For TOR**

**R.K. Minerals Corporation Sawarkar Ward Nai Basti Katni (M.P)**

Lime & Clay mine 15.04 hect, Village - HInotapatkul, Tehsil- Banda, Distt. Sagar (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**-Sd-**

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)  
Chairman SEAC**

**Sd-**

**(V. Subramanian)  
Member SEAC**

**-Sd-**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)  
Member SEAC**

**- Sd-**

**(K.K. Goswami)  
Member SEAC**

**-Sd-**

**(R.K. Jain)  
Secretary SEAC**

**14. 231/2008**

**Smt. Ratna Mishra C/o M/s CIMMCO Gulab Rai Building, Opposite Nagar Nigam Katni (M.P)**

Limestone mine 5.89 Ha 5000 TPA at Rajwara, Tehsil- Vijay Raghogharh Katni (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**15. 232/2008**

**M/s Ismile and Sons C/o M/s CIMMCO Gulab Rai Building, Opposite Nagar Nigam Katni (M.P)**

Limestone Mine -7.357 Ha Production capacity : 15000 TPA at Bistara, Teh: Vijayraghogharh, Distt, Katni (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**16. 233/2008**

**Shri Motilal Jain (Attorney)- 108, Kohefiza, Lalghati Bhopal (M.P)**

Motigarh Red Ochre & Laterite Mine, Teh: Chhatarpur, Distt. Chhatarpur (MP)

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

**17. 234/2008**

**Shri Jyoti Minerals Industries kamal Kumar Bagodia Station Road Katni (M.P)**

Limestone, Dolomite stone, laterite mine 6.00 Ha at village Ritual Tehasil - Bahoriband, Katni

Project proponent did not turn up for the deliberations, hence may be called upon in the next meeting.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)  
Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)  
Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)  
Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)  
Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)  
Secretary SEAC**

**18. 236/2008**

**Smt. Vandna Modi W/o Shri Abhay Modi bara Bazar Shahagarh, Teh- Banda Sagar (M.P)**

Iron Ore & Dolomite Mines 11.82 Ha at village Tigoda, Banda Sagar (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**19. 237/2008**

**Jaipuria Leo Software & System (P) Ltd Plot No. F-4(A) MIDC Industrial Area, Hingna Road, Nagpur (M H)**

Manganese Ore mines 6-159 Ha at Jarah Mohgaon Teh- Katangi, Distt.- Balaghat (M.P)

With the consent of SEAC Members present and the project Proponents present, it was mutually agreed to defer the case to the next meeting.

**Decision based on the submission of query reply by the PP**

**20. 14/2008**

**M/s Assotech CP Infrastructure (P) Ltd. A-354, Sector 19 Noida - 201301 (U.P).**

*Project: Housing Project "Windsor Hills" at village- Dungarpur, in front of Boston College, Gwalior (M.P)*

The case was earlier presented in detail before the committee in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting dated 13/14 May 08, whereby committee has raised certain queries. Project proponent along with his consultant made a presentation before the committee on the reply to the raised queries in the 8<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC dated 19<sup>th</sup> August 2008. After satisfactory submission of the proponent the Committee recommended the case to SEIAA for issue of conditional

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008**

14

Environmental Clearance.

SEIAA further examined the case and referred the case to SEAC for a review in light of following:

- ❖ To examine the availability of water & possible consequences on environment of extracting ground water before considering grant of environmental clearance.

As regarding the availability of water & possible consequences on environment of extracting ground water committee earlier has already examined the case critically. Project proponent vide his letter dated 01/08/08 has submitted to SEAC, that 06 recharge wells shall be for constructed to recharge ground water in addition to this construction of check dams along nallah (flowing adjacent to the project site) is also proposed to further enhance the ground water recharge by retaining the rain water of the small catchment's area around the site.

In the second presentation dated 19/08/08, the PP has submitted a copy of the permission for the extraction of ground water from the Central Ground Water Authority, N. Delhi, [Copy enclosed]. As per the letter of Central Ground Water Authority, N. Delhi furnished by the PP it reveals that the area falls under 'Safe Category area on ground water resource considerations' accordingly NOC for extraction of required quantity of water has been issued to the PP and the findings of hydrological studies and geo-electric survey which reveals that due to extraction of water the water level is likely to fall by 0.5 to 1.0 meter at the most and this fall in water level will be made up by ingress of water through stream and rain water harvesting in case of normal rains. The PP has also proposed certain measures to reduce water consumption such as - low flow flush volume tanks, use of censor based tapes for commercial areas, use of censor based urinals for commercial areas, sprinkler system for horticulture, drip irrigation xeriscaping, use of native species for landscaping and reduction in initial water demand from 913 KLD to

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

730 KLD.

Committee vide its letter no. 788 dated 07/11/2008 asked the PP to submit details on availability of water & possible consequences on environment of extracting ground water. Reply to the said query was submitted by the PP vide its letter dated 20/11/2008, which reveals with average rainfall in the region the decline in water level will be between 0.5 to 1.0 m. which shall be made up by ingress of water through stream and rain water harvesting.

In view of above submissions the case may be forwarded to SEIAA for further necessary action in the matter.

**21. 16 /2008**

**M/s. Teva API India, Ltd Bulk Drug Plan, Q-1-4, Ghirongi Malanpur Ind. Area, Distt. Bhind (M.P)**

*Project: Installation of Bulk Drug Manufacturing Unit*

The project proponent submitted the EIA/EMP report being carried out after the issue of TORs in the SEAC meeting dated 9th April 2008. The EIA/EMP was presented before the committee in the seventh meeting dated 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2008. Beside other environmental issues the issues pertaining to hazardous waste management (such as categorization, handling, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes expected to generate from the industry, incinerator specifications etc.) were also discussed during the meeting elaborately (please refer to the minutes of the said meeting for details). The queries which could not be clarified were asked from the proponent vide query letter no. 279 dated 02/08/2008. The presentation on query reply was made by the proponent in the 11<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEAC dated 24<sup>th</sup> September 08, after satisfactory deliberations and reply to the queries SEAC recommended the case to SEIAA for issue of EC.

In view of the queries raised by SEIAA, the proponent was asked to submit the

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008** 16

hazardous waste management in details under the provisions of Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008. Submissions made by the proponent on management of hazardous wastes reveals following:

- ❖ Various expected hazardous wastes from the industry falls under category 5.1, 28.1, 28.2, 28.3, 28.4, 28.5, 33.3, 34.3, 34.2, 35.1 and 36.2.
- ❖ The recyclable wastes shall be sold to the authorized recyclers / vendors.
- ❖ The incinerable waste shall be incinerated in the designated incinerator designed as per the specification of Central Pollution Control Board.
- ❖ The ETP sludge & ash from incinerator shall be disposed off in the Common Treatment, Storage & Disposal Facility (CTSDF) at Pithampur Dhar.
- ❖ Spent solvents are proposed for solvent recovery through in-house solvent recovery unit.

In view of above submissions the case may be forwarded to SEIAA for further necessary action in the matter.

**22. 78/2008**

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company, 1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi.**

*Project: Submission of NOC from Forest Department for the project on- Nanwara Lime Stone Mine; 7.09 Ha. at village Nanwara, Tehsil- Vijayraghgarh, District Katni*

The document submitted by the proponent was not considered as NOC from the forest department is not clear, hence the PP may be asked to submit clear NOC from forest department.

**23. 79/2008**

-Sd-  
**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-  
**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-  
**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-  
**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-  
**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**



**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008** 17

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company, 1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi.**

*Project: Badri Lime Stone Mine; 5.82 Ha. at village Badri, Tehsil- Vijayraghgarh, District Katni.*

The document submitted by the proponent was not considered as NOC from the forest department is not clear, hence the PP may be asked to submit clear NOC from forest department.

**24. 80/2008**

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company, 1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi.**

*Project: Ametha Lime Stone Mine; 12.78 Ha. at village Ametha, Tehsil- Vijayraghgarh, District Katni*

The document submitted by the proponent was not considered as NOC from the forest department is not clear, hence the PP may be asked to submit clear NOC from forest department.

**25. 43 /2008**

**Mr. Rishabh Majahan, Brightstar Infrastructure (P) Ltd.10/1, Alumina Tower, South Tukoganj, Indore (M.P.). Project: Brightstar Infrastructure (P) Ltd. Township, Jatkhedi, Hoshangabad Raod Bhopal (M.P)**

The case was earlier presented in detail before the committee in its 2<sup>nd</sup> & 7<sup>th</sup> meetings dated 14/05/08 and 22/07/08, whereby committee has asked the proponent to submit the following:

After the presentation on various queries raised by the Committee the case was recommended to SEIAA for issue of conditional Environmental Clearance, in its 8<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 19<sup>th</sup> August 2008.

-Sd-

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

Sd-

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

- Sd-

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

-Sd-

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 17<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2008** 18

SEIAA further examined the case and referred it to SEAC for a review in light of following queries:

1. To examine the availability of water & possible consequences on environment of extracting ground water before considering grant of environmental clearance.
2. To ask the proponent to obtain building permission for proposed construction and submit the same.
3. Permission from Central Ground Water Board for abstraction of GW.

Accordingly the proponent was asked to submit the above vide its letter no. 697 dated 07/10/2008 and 789 dated 07/11/2008. Proponent has submitted the building permission from Municipal Corporation vide its letter dated 14/11/2008, but the NOC/Permission from Central Ground Water Board for abstraction of ground water is yet to be submitted. The committee decided to hold the case till permission from Central Ground Water Board for abstraction of GW is submitted and the PP may be informed about this.

Meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the Chair.

**-Sd-**  
**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

**Sd-**  
**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC**

**-Sd-**  
**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

**- Sd-**  
**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

**-Sd-**  
**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**