

## **1.0 Opening Remarks of the Chairman**

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee (**Annexure-1**) and thereafter agenda item taken up for deliberations. The list of participants present during meeting is annexed as **Annexure-2**.

## **2.0 Consideration of the Projects**

Following issues were discussed and decided:-

Next meeting of the committee is scheduled for 25th September, 10 applicants were invited for EIA/EMP deliberations. Proceedings and recommendations of the meeting are detailed below.

## **3.0 EIA/EMP Deliberations:**

### **1. Case no. 13/2008**

**DLF Ltd. First Floor, Sayaji Plaza, MR-10, Vijay Nagar, Indore 452010**

*Project: Integrated Township at village Sulakhedi and Mundlabagh, Tehsil- Sanwer, Distt. Indore, (M.P)*

### **Query Presentation.**

The township projects are listed at S.N. 8(b) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 30/06/2008. The Committee has raised certain queries during the presentation (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 307 dated 02/08/2008). The reply / supporting documents [*copy of land registry, permission of construction from concerned deptt., water balance data, ground water potential, details of recharge pit, solid and sewage management plan, details of energy conservation methods proposed, response in case of emergency, necessary undertakings as instructed etc.*] was submitted by the proponent. The project proponent aided with his

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC,**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

2

consultant presented the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**2. Case no. 16 /2008**

**M/s. Teva API India, Ltd Bulk Drug Plan, Q-1-4, Ghirongi Malanpur Ind. Area ,Distt. Bhind (M.P)**

Installation of Bulk Drug Manufacturing Unit

**Query Presentation.**

The EIA/EMP for project was a submitted and presented by the Project Proponent before SEAC in its meeting dated 22/07/2008. Certain queries were raised by the committee in the meeting (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 279 dated 02/08/2008). The reply/ supporting documents [*analysis report of the wastes and enclosed necessary permissions from the concerned authority along with OSEP, Details of SRP, PLI policy, schematic Diagram of Incinerator and summery of EIA.*] was submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**3. Case no. 45 /2008**

**Shri Promod Maheswari,**

**General Manager,**

**Dwarka Sadan, Bhaskar Lane, jayant Ganj , Gwalior**

Mall cum Multiplex at old MPSRTC Workshop, Khasra No. 524, 525, 529, 530 & 531 Race Course Road, Gwalior

**Query Presentation.**

The building and construction projects are listed at S.N. 8(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC,**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

3

project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 30/05/2008. The Committee has raised certain queries during the presentation (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 305 dated 02/08/2008). The reply/supporting documents [*copy of land registry, permission of town and country planning, water requirement data, ground water potential, details of recharge, solid and sewage management plan, compliance of ECBC guidelines, necessary undertakings as instructed etc.*] was submitted by the proponent. The project proponent aided with his consultant presented the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**4. Case no. 55/2008**

**M/s 21st Century (P) Ltd.**

**Village – Misrod Teh: Huzur**

**Distt. Bhopal (M.P)**

Shopping Mall at village Misrod Tehsil-Huzur Distt. Bhopal (M.P), Total Area- 26021.07 Sq.Mt. Built Up area- 76311.86 Sq. Mt.

**Query Presentation.**

The building and construction projects are listed at S.N. 8(a) of schedule under ‘B’ Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 30/05/2008. The Committee has raised certain queries during the presentation (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 281 dated 02/08/2008). The reply and the supporting documents [*copy of land registry, permission of construction of shopping mall, details of water requirement, Backup calculation of waste water generation, details of ground water potential, details of recharge, details of color coding to be used for dual plumbing, solid and sewage management plan, compliance of ECBC guidelines, necessary undertakings as instructed etc.*] were submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC,**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

4

presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

In addition to above, the Committee demanded an undertaking from the PP stating that the fly-ash bricks being manufactured in Betul District shall used in the construction, the same was submitted by the proponent.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**5. Case no. 78/2008**

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company,**

**1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi**

Nanwara Lime Stone Mine; 7.09 Ha. at village Nanwara, Tehsil- Vijayraghogarh, District Katni [TOR was approved by MoEF wide letter no. J-11015/442/2007- IA.II (M) Dated 22.10.07]

The EIA/EMP for project was a submitted and presented by the Project Proponent before SEAC in its meeting dated 30/06/2008. Certain queries were raised by the committee in the meeting (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 294 dated 02/08/2008). The reply [details of solid waste management and backfilling plan till 2018, details of reclamation and rehabilitation plan, proposed socio economic activities with proposed budget, ground water potential, recharge potential etc.] was submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**6. Case no. 79/2008 (Query Presentation)**

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company,**

**1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi**

Badri Lime Stone Mine; 5.82 Ha. at village Badri, Tehsil- Vijayraghogarh, District Katni

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
**Chairman SEAC**

**(V. Subramanian)**  
**Member SEAC,**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
**Member SEAC**

**(R.K. Jain)**  
**Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

5

[TOR was approved by MoEF wide letter no. J-11015/443/2007- IA.II (M) Dated 22.10.07]

The EIA/EMP for project was a submitted and presented by the Project Proponent before SEAC in its meeting dated 30/06/2008. Certain queries were raised by the committee in the meeting (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 295 dated 02/08/2008). The reply [*details of solid waste management and backfilling plan till 2018, details of reclamation and rehabilitation plan, proposed socio economic activities with proposed budget, ground water potential, recharge potential etc.*] was submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**7. Case no. 80/2008 (Query Presentation)**

**M/s S. N. Sunderson & Company,  
1, deshbandhu Gupta Road, Post Box No. 5731, New Delhi  
Fax No. 011-23620632**

Ametha Lime Stone Mine; 12.78 Ha. at village Ametha, Tehsil- Vijayraghogarh, District Katni [TOR was approved by MoEF wide letter no. J-11015/440/2007- IA.II (M) Dated 22.10.07]

The EIA/EMP for project was a submitted and presented by the Project Proponent before SEAC in its meeting dated 30/06/2008. Certain queries were raised by the committee in the meeting (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 296 dated 02/08/2008). The reply [*details of solid waste management and backfilling plan till 2018, details of reclamation and rehabilitation plan, proposed socio economic activities with proposed budget, ground water potential, recharge potential etc.*] was submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)  
Chairman SEAC**

**(V. Subramanian)  
Member SEAC,**

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)  
Member SEAC**

**(K.K. Goswami)  
Member SEAC**

**(S.R. Shukla)  
Member SEAC**

**(R.K. Jain)  
Secretary SEAC**

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

6

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**8. Case no. 56/2008 (Query Presentation)**

**PGH International (P) Ltd. Karond-Bhanpur Bye-pass road, Bhopal (M.P)**

Shopping Mall cum multiplex, at Raslakhedi, Bhopal (M.P)

**Query Presentation.**

The building and construction projects are listed at S.N. 8(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 14/05/2008. The Committee has raised certain queries during the presentation (conveyed to the proponent vide letter no. 270 dated 15/07/2008). The reply with supporting documents [*copy of land registry, permission of construction of shopping mall, details of water requirement, Backup calculation of waste water generation, details of ground water potential, details of recharge, details of color coding to be used for dual plumbing, solid and sewage management plan, compliance of ECBC guidelines, necessary undertakings as instructed etc.*] were submitted by the proponent. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the reply to all the queries with supporting documents where ever required before the committee.

In addition to above, the Committee demanded an undertaking from the PP stating that the fly-ash bricks being manufactured in Betul District shall used in the construction, the same was submitted by the proponent.

*In view of the satisfactory submission by the Project Proponent the committee has recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance.*

**9. Case no. 91/2008**

**M/s Mohta Cement (P) Ltd. 103, Amardarshan Building 28/2, Old Palasia Indore (M.P)**

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC,

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
Member SEAC

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

7

Expansion of Cement production capacity of M/s Mohta Cement (P) Ltd. 61/62 Sector -3 Pithampur Distt. Dhar (M.P) 30 TPD to 300 TPD.

**Project proponent has submitted the query reply & case is placed for the approval of TOR**

Cement plants with  $\geq 1.0$  million tonnes/annum production capacity are listed at S.N. 3(b) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 30/06/2008. The documents submitted by the proponent were insufficient for appraisal in the meeting hence the committee directed the applicant to submit various details pertaining to the project such as the *proposed TOR, map showing existing infrastructure and proposed infrastructure, layout with respect to available land and roof water harvesting etc.* (conveyed to the applicant vide letter no. 299 dated 02/08/2008). The requisite details were submitted by the applicant. After detail deliberation on the salient features of the project, committee has recommended the case for issue of TOR for EIA/EMP.

2 Acres extra land available should be used partly for storage area and partly for development of addition green area, accordingly revised lay out plan should be submitted with EIA. Documents pertaining to ownership have to be submitted with EIA.

**10. Case no. 174/2008**

**Vijay Tiwari, Arya energy Ltd., D-1, Maruti Enclave, tatibandh, Raipur.**

Arya energy ltd., Village-Gohandra, dist- Anuppur 12 MW Biomass Based Power Plant TOR was approved by the MoEF, Delhi

**EIA Presentation.**

The renewable energy projects  $< 50$  MW or  $> 5$  MW (Pet coke, diesel and all other fuels) are listed at S.N. 1(d) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. The applicant has submitted the EIA report based on the TOR issued by MoEF, N. Delhi. Applicant aided by the consultant presented the salient

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC,

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
Member SEAC

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

8

features of the project and EIA/EMP. After hearing to the deliberations committee has raised certain queries.

Reply to the following queries with supporting documents has to be submitted by the proponent:

- ❖ Land ownership documents.
- ❖ Detail site plan showing adjoining land use especially the settlements and sensitive features.
- ❖ Quality of coal and the place from where the coal shall be procured.
- ❖ Water recharge potential and the net drop in the ground water level due to drawal of ground water.
- ❖ Original water quality report as obtained from the testing laboratory.
- ❖ Documents pertaining to recognition of testing laboratory by MoEF or CPCB.
- ❖ The species of plants mentioned in the proposal and have to be validated with correction in the botanical names as suggested during the meeting.
- ❖ As the project has been approved under CDM the PIN, PCN and the PDD to be submitted.
- ❖ In addition to the above queries the applicant is required to furnish the following details pertaining to EIA, Public Hearing and the TOR:

**(A) Issues w.r.t. EIA**

1.	Chap. 02 6.4.4(P.6.4)	(i) Water requirement will be made by bore well - permission from CGWB should be obtained being project totally dependent on Ground water. (ii) Commitment for disposal of ash as per MoEF guidelines.
2.	Ch. 2.3.1 (P-2.5) As 6.2.4 (p/6.1)	The blow down water is reported to be partially utilized for ash Bricks curing. Will these bricks be manufactured in plant?
3	2.3.2	(i) R.O. plant is proposed but necessary details are missing (ii) Details of ETP are missing.

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC,

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
Member SEAC

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC



**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

9

4	2.3.4	Details regarding hazardous waste are missing. The Hazardous Wastes are likely to be generated from the following:- (i) Exhaust resin for DM plant. (ii) Spent oil from turbine.
5	4.3.1	Location map of AAQM points with respect to plant site and neighboring villages are missing.
6	4.3.2	Summary results of AAQM sheet Table no. 5.3 (A) and 5.3 (B) are missing.
7	6.4.4	Plant water system: - water balance diagram indicating effluent management. (Annexure-4) missing/ not attached with the report.
8		Detailed drawing & design of ash dyke pond is missing.
9		The information on following topics are missing in EIA as per generic structure of EIA with respect to notification at 14 <sup>th</sup> September 2006 “Disclosure of the consultant engaged with their brief resume and nature of consultancy rendered”.

**(B) Issues of public hearing.**

1.	As committed in public hearing (point No. 6) details regarding drawing design & plan of rain water harvesting system is missing.
2.	As per public hearing doc., detailed breakage of Rs. 1.50 Cr., proposed for environment management is missing. The cost of monitoring (for air & water etc.) should also be details out.

**(C) Issues TOR**

1.	Air quantity contours may be plotted on a location map showing the location of projects site, habilitation nearby, sensitive receptors.(xi)
2.	Details regarding transportation of fuel and confirmed fuel linkage are missing (xiii)
3	Details of rain water harvesting and how it will be used in the plant are missing. (xv)
4	The questionnaire for environment appraisals of thermal power projects is also not submitted.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks from the Secretary SEAC.

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC,

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
Member SEAC

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC

**MINUTES OF 11<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

10

**(Suresh Chandra Jain)**  
Chairman SEAC

**(V. Subramanian)**  
Member SEAC,

**(Prof. K.C. Mathur)**  
Member SEAC

**(K.K. Goswami)**  
Member SEAC

**(S.R. Shukla)**  
Member SEAC

**(R.K. Jain)**  
Secretary SEAC