The 94th meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 30.05.2012 at 10.30 AM at the Authority's office in M. P. Pollution Control Board Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal. The meeting was chaired by Shri Amar Singh, Chairman, SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting:-

Shri M. Hashim

Member

2 Shri Manohar Dubey Member Secretary

1. Following cases have been considered and the details of the receipt of the recommendation & record from the office of SEAC is as follows:

S. No.	Case No.	No. & date of latest SEAC meeting in which case was approved	Date of receipt of SEAC recommendation in SEIAA office	Date of receipt of records in SEIAA office
1.	611/2010	93 rd meeting dtd. 10.04.12	04.05.2012	16.05.2012
2.	614/2010	93 rd meeting dtd. 10.04.12	04.05.2012	16.05.2012
3.	619/2010	93 rd meeting dtd. 10.04.12	04.05.2012	16.05.2012
4.	631/2011	93 rd meeting dtd. 10.04.12	04.05.2012	16.05.2012
5.	637/2011	93 rd meeting dtd. 10.04.12	04.05.2012	16.05.2012

- 2. Case No. 611/2010, Prior Environmental Clearance for Area Development cum construction (Mantri City- Integrated Township at Village Sujana & Kulaish, Tehsil & District Gwalior, MP by Sudreshan Jhavar, M/s Sunil Mantri Reality Ltd 103, Ground floor, Parswanath Bhawan, Jhawar Estate Gandhi Road, Gwalior-M.P.
 - As per the 93rd SEAC meeting dated 10.04.12 it has been recorded that "SADA has provided consent letter for separate STP for the proposed township. PP has proposed that the MSW shall be disposed off in the facility of Nagar Nigam Gwalior on payment basis. The response to various queries was submitted by the PP and examined by the committee. The proposed EMP was found to be satisfactory. Regarding feasibility of the proposed STP, subcommittee visited the sites in Pune where similar STP is operating. Committee is of the opinion that the proposed STP has certain limitations such as it requires diluted sewage. The supplier of the STP has informed that the installation of STP is normally done after feasibility study and the modifications are done as per the requirements. Matter was discussed with the PP also. Proponent has accepted that the STP for the project shall be installed only after ascertaining its feasibility. In view of above it was decided to allow such STP only after required modifications or otherwise PP shall install conventional STP based on activated sludge process. In light of above committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior environmental clearance subject to the 43 special conditions."
 - The case was discussed in depth and was found that the proponent has been allotted a land area of 394.91 acres by Special Area Development Authority (SADA), Gwalior vide allotment order no. 4156/SADA/10 dtd. 12.10.10. SADA has given permission for development of 338.22 acres vide letter no. 6601/SADA dtd 29.12.10. However, it was noted that the PP has applied for environmental clearance for a piece of 71 acres (3,32,438.90 sq. m.) as Phase-1 project. On the basis of latest provision in the EIA notification 2006, the case for environmental clearance for entire 338.22 acres of land should be considered as Category 8(b) while PP has applied for Category 8(a) and procedure for this item has been followed. The PP should be asked why the case should not be

(Manohar Dubey Member Secretary Member

considered under item no. 8 (b) of the schedule of EIA notification, 2006? The letter should be sent by registered post to the PP for submitting clarification on this issue by 15th July, 2012 positively to the SEIAA directly. If no clarification is received by this date the case will be summarily rejected.

- Case No. 614/2010, Prior Environmental Clearance for Residential Township Project "Prem Shanti Residency" at Village Kumerdi, P. O. Bhawrasla, Tehsil Sanwer, District Indore, MP by M/s J K M Projects Pvt Ltd C/o J K Investment Pvt Ltd MR- 10, Near Toll Tax Booth Hotel-Sheraton, Distt-Indore-M.P.

 - ii. The case was discussed in depth, it was decided that the PP should be asked to submit following information within 2 months:
 - a. Certified copy of details of total land, developed area, built up area, total number of buildings, floor wise built up area, number of floors, height.
 - b. List of Khasra Numbers included in the project area.
 - c. Notarized copy of latest Khasra Panchshala of the project area.
 - d. NOC for firefighting from the fire Department's local office as per para 4 (v) of office memorandum (No. 27-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012) MoEF Gol regarding guidelines for high-rise buildings.
 - e. The information regarding width of the road w.r.t. height of the building, as per para 4 (ii) office memorandum (No. 27-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012) MoEF GoI regarding guidelines for high-rise building.
 - iii. While examining the water requirement it was noted that the fresh water requirement is 132 KLD and 49 KLD of recycled water. It was also noted that PP has submitted permission from Central Ground Water Board vide letter No-2-14(118) / NCR/CGWA/2011 dated 01.11.2011 for 162.4 m³ / day (not exceeding 59,276 m³ / year). It was decided that the fresh water requirement should be restricted to 132 KLD as recommended by SEAC.
- Case No. 619/2010, Prior Envionrnmental Clearance for Limestone mine for production capacity 1.00 Lac in an area of 10.431 ha TPA at village - Bhatia, Tehsil -Maihar, District - Satna, MP by M/S KJS Cement, Village - Amiliya, Post & Tehsil -Maihar, District - Satna, MP-45771.

i. As per the 93rd SEAC meeting dated 10.04.12 it has been recorded that "Reply to above queries was submitted by the PP and the same was discussed before the committee in

(Manohar Dubey) Member Secretary

(M. Hashim) Member (Amar Singh) Chairman

detail. It was reported by the proponent that construction activities have been initiated in the project for which proponent has submitted a resolution from the Board of Directors along with affidavit stating that such violation shall not be repeated in future. Committee is satisfied with EMP, feasibility report, and various submissions made by the PP. Hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the 45 special conditions."

- The documents submitted by PP were examined and it was found that the Khasra Panchshala for 3 Khasras 911, 912 & 913 have not been submitted, hence PP should submit the notarized copy of latest Khasra Panchashala of these Khasras. Further it was noted that 7 Khasras 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 936 & 971 belong to tribal (Kol). Regarding tribal land, it was decided that PP and Collector, Katni may be informed for providing information as per decision taken in 91st SEIAA meeting dated 11.05.12. The same is quoted as "the concerned tribal person should apply to Collector for permission under 165 of Land Revenue Code. The Collector shall pass final 'order' on such application of the tribal person under section 165 of the Land Revenue Code. The Collector order shall be made available to the Authority for consideration by the PP". This information should be made available to SEIAA office within two months.
- The plantation plan submitted by PP is satisfactory. It was also found that during iii. public hearing issues pertaining to blasting were raised hence if prior EC is granted condition of safe blasting should be imposed.
- 5. Case No. 631/2011, Prior Environmental Clearance for Group Housing Project "Chinar Dream City" at Village Ratanpur Sadak, Narela Hanumant, Tehsil Huzur, District Bhopal, MP by M/S Chinar Reality Pvt. Ltd. Director Shri Sunil Moolchandani Chinar House 231-Zone II M.P. Nagar Bhopal-462 011
 - As per the 93rd SEAC meeting dated 10.04.12 it has been recorded that "The reply to above queries was submitted by the PP & the same was placed before the committee in the 89th meeting dt. 14/02/2012. Committee was satisfied with query response and various submissions made by the PP. However, the examination of the proposed STP it was observed that the same is based on Photo-Oxidation principle which being comparative new technology needed further clarification and explanation. Regarding feasibility of the proposed STP, subcommittee visited the sites in Pune where similar STP is operating. Committee is of the opinion that the proposed STP has certain limitations such as it requires diluted sewage. The supplier of the STP has informed that the installation of STP is normally done after feasibility study and the modifications are done as per the requirements. Matter was discussed with the PP also. Proponent has accepted that the STP for the project shall be installed only after ascertaining its feasibility. In view of above it was decided to allow such STP only after required modifications or otherwise PP shall install conventional STP based on activated sludge process. In light of above committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior environmental clearance subject to the 41 special conditions."
- The case was discussed in depth and it was decided that the PP should be asked to submit following information by 31st July 2012:
 - Certified copy of details of total land, developed area, built up area, total number of buildings, floor wise built up area, number of floors, height.
 - Certified copy of building permission.

(Manohar Dubey) Member Secretary

Member

Chairman

- Clear and readable notarized copy of latest Khasra Panchshala of the project area.
- d. NOC for firefighting from the local office fire Department as per para 4 (v) of office memorandum (No. 27-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012) MoEF GoI regarding guidelines for high-rise building.
- e. The information regarding width of the road w.r.t. height of the building, as per para 4 (ii) of office memorandum (No. 27-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012) MoEF Gol regarding guidelines for high-rise building.
- iii. The issue of water demand and its source was discussed in detail and it was noted that PP has proposed to meet the water demand through ground water. As per the ground water extraction norms permission from Central Ground Water Board is a must. Hence, it was decided that PP should be informed by registered post to submit the permission from CGWB by 31st July 2012 otherwise the case will be summarily rejected.
- 6. Case No. 637/2011, Prior Environmental Clearance for Residential, Club, Shopping Arcade" D B City Project" at Village Mehra, Tehsil & District Gwalior, MP by Bhaskar Housing Development Co. Pvt. Ltd. 1st Floor, Hotel Gwalior Regency, Link Road Gwalior-M.P
 - i. As per the 93rd SEAC meeting dated 10.04.12 it has been recorded that "Reply to above queries was submitted by the PP and the same was discussed before the committee in detail. It was reported by the proponent that construction activities have been initiated in the project for which proponent has submitted a resolution from the Board of Directors along with affidavit stating that such violation shall not be repeated in future. Committee is satisfied with EMP, feasibility report, and various submissions made by the PP. Hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the 45 special conditions."
 - ii. The case was discussed in depth and it was found that the PP has initiated some construction without prior EC and submitted the resolution of their Board of Directors before SEAC along with affidavit that such violation shall not be repeated in future. By going through O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-.II(I) of MoEF, Gol dated 16-11-2010 regarding violation of EIA Notification 2006 Para 4 (ii) it was observed that it was not the stage to submit such resolution before SEAC.

The O.M. is guoted hereunder:

" 4- (ii) After the Competent Authority has approved the proposal for grant of environmental clearance, MoEF/ SEIAA will send a communication to the project proponent informing that although the proposal has been approved by the competent Authority, formal environmental clearance will be issued to the project only after the matter relating to the violations have been put up to the Board of Directors of the Company or to the Managing Committee/CEO of the Society, Trust, partnership/ individually owned concern for consideration of its environment related policy/ plan of action as also a written commitment in the form of a formal resolution to be submitted to MoEF/SEIAA to ensure that violations of the Environment (Protection) Act etc. will not be repeated. For the purpose, a time limit of 90 days will be given to the project proponent. In the

(Manohar Dubey) Member Secretary (M. Hashim) Member

(Amar Singh) Chairman

meantime, the project will be delisted. In the eventuality of not having any response from the project proponent within the prescribed limit of 90 days, it will be presumed that it is no longer interested in pursuing the project further and the project file will be closed, where after the procedure for obtaining environmental clearance will have to be initiated de-novo by such project proponents."

- It was decided to return the resolution passed by Board of Directors to PP by iii. registered post. Further PP should be asked to inform the period of violation i. e. date of beginning violation and the date of end of the violation. It was also advised if construction is going on then, it should be stopped with immediate effect and no construction should take place till formal EC is issued to them., The PP should submit the above information by 31st July 2012.
- Further the case was discussed in depth and It was found that building iv. permission letter is not clear, therefore the complete certified copy along with details of total land, developed area, built up area, total number of buildings, floor wise built up area, number of floors, height should be submitted by the PP.
- ٧. In respect of para 4 of office memorandum (No. 27-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012) MoEF Gol regarding guidelines for high-rise building, PP should submit the information regarding width of the road and location and distance of nearest fire station w.r.t. height of the building and NOC from the local fire station.
- While discussing the water requirement it was decided that the water demand vi. breakup given by the PP should be adhered and fresh water requirement should not exceed 537.50 KLD as decided by SEAC. It was noted that PP has proposed to meet the water demand through ground water. As per the ground water extraction norms permission from Central Ground Water Board is a must. Hence, it was decided that PP should be informed by registered post to submit the permission from CGWB by 31st July 2012 otherwise case will be summarily rejected. It was also decided that all gueries to the PP should be sent by registered post.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Manohar Dubey)

Member Secretary

Member

Page 5 of 5