The 69th meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 05th October, 2011 at 10.30 A.M at the Authority's office in M.P. Pollution Control Board Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal. The meeting was chaired by Shri Amar Singh, Chairman, SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting:-

1 Shri M. Hashim

Member

2 Shri Manohar Dubey

Member Secretary

A Cases considered first time in SEAC and recommended for Prior EC

- Case No. 155/2008 Kwality Mineral Behind Milan Hall, Habib Nagar, Teka, Nagpur, M H Dolomite mine 10.00 ha Survey No 74, Vill- Bichwabaggu. Teh-Sounser Distt. Chhindwara M.P.
- Case No 159/2008 M/s Gahra Minerals, Habib Nagar, Teka, Nagpur M H. Dolomite mine 14.00 hect. survey No. 278, Vill-Lohani, Teh- Sauncer, Disttchhindwara M.P.
- Case No 213/2008 Smt Ratna Sing C/o Shri Sudhir Singh Tomar Krishna Nagar, Satna M.P. Lime stone mine 17.408 hect. At vill- Abmer, Teh-Rampur Baghelan Distt-Satna M.P.
- **4.** Case No 244/2008 M/s Komesh stone company Rajiyar (Dabra) Gwalior- M.P. Stone mine 15.00 ha. At Village Pajiyar Gwalior- M.P.

The above four cases have been considered in 77th SEAC meeting dtd. 25.08.11. These cases have been forwarded to SEIAA for grant of Prior EC. There is no appraisal report in these cases.

It was decided by the Authority to return above mentioned four cases to SEAC for submitting Appraisal Reports incorporating various point as mentioned in case no. 66/2008 of the 68th SEIAA meeting dtd. 30.09.2011.

- B Cases related to additional information or returned to SEAC for reconsideration
 - Case No. 160/2008 M/s Kamta Nath Stone crusher, Prop.Nthuram Jatav Bhind M.P. Quarry Lease deed 20,000 MTPA stone 42.63 ha. Vill-Dang Teh-Gohad, Distt.Bhind.

The issue was discussed in 35th SEIAA meeting dtd. 12.05.10 and it has been recorded that "The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that a written complaint was made to the Distt. Collector by Shri Jatiram and other on 5.3.10. It is stated in the complaint that no. of temples are located on the various Khasras of the mining lease. The proposed blasting activity may be dangerous to the villagers and animals. It was decided by the Authority to send the case back to SEAC for a detail report on this matter and clarify whether the temples are listed monuments of Archeology Deptt. SEAC

(Manohar Dubey) Member Secretary

(M. Hashim)

(Amar Singh)

Member

Page 1 of 5

should also ensure whether the safety of the villagers and temples has been taken care of by the proponent in the EIA repot.

The case was scrutinized by Authority and after detailed discussion on the letter issued by Archeology Deptt. and issues raised in complaint received earlier and in Public Hearing, it was decided to write to the Collector to give his report with his specific recommendation. The letter of the Archeology Deptt., complaint received and objection raised in Public Hearing, should also be enclosed with this letter. The area should be clearly marked by the Collector.

The Proponent should be asked to provide the following information/ documents within one month:

- Latest certified copies of the Khasra numbers for which mining lease is i. granted.
- ii. Summary of EIA.
- Certificate/ letter issued by Collector, Bhind, mentioning distance of the iii. project area from inter state boundary. The Collector may also be directed to provide the same to PP.
- Case No. 161/2008 M/s Kamadigiri Stone crusher Udyog Brij Kishore Sharma Bhind M.P. Quarry Leasedee 20,000 MTPA stone mine 161 43.0 ha. Vill-Dang, Teh-Gohad, Distt-Bhind M.P.

The issue was discussed in 35th SEIAA meeting dtd. 12.05.10 and it has been recorded that "The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that a written complaint was made to the District Collector by Shri Lokendra Singh and others on 7/1/2010.

It is stated in the complaint that a temple and school building is situated in Khasra no 824. The proposed blasting activity may be dangerous to the school children and villagers.

It was decided by the Authority to send the case back to SEAC for a detail report on this matter, and clarify whether the temple is a listed monument of Archeology Deptt. SEAC should also ensure whether the safety of the villagers, school children, school building and temple has been taken care of by the proponent in the EIA repot."

The case was scrutinized by Authority and after detailed discussion on the letter issued by Archeology Deptt., issues raised in complaint received earlier and in Public Hearing, it was decided to write to the Collector to give his report with his specific recommendations. The letter of the Archeology Deptt., complaint received and objection raised in Public Hearing, should also be enclosed with this letter.

The Proponent should be asked to provide the following information/ documents within one month:

- Latest certified copies of the Khasra numbers for which mining lease is granted.
- Summary of EIA.

(Manohar Dubey Member Secretary

Member

(Amar Singh) Chairman

69th SEIAA Meeting dtd. 05.10.2011

Page 2 of 5

- Certificate/ letter issued by Collector, Bhind, mentioning distance of the project area from inter state boundary. The Collector may also be directed to provide the same to the PP.
- 3. Case No. 171/2008 Dragawan Quarts Deposit Near Chhoti Devi Temple Tikamgarh Quart Deposit mine 12.0 ha at Dargawan Teh-Tikamgarh, Distt-Tikamgarh M.P.

The issue was discussed in 46th SEIAA meeting dtd. 12.01.11 and it has been recorded that "The Authority while scrutinizing the documents has observed that the Environment Management Plan, Disaster Management Plan and Environment Monitoring Plan is very generalized. Secondly the SEAC has not expressed their opinion on any such issue. Since SEAC does not exist at present and hence after its reconstitution they should reconsider these cases and send recommendation within 45 days from the date of reconstitution .. '

The Authority scrutinized the EIA summary and found satisfactory. The Authority found that the Khasra Panchsala and distance of the proposed site from inter state boundary is not given.

It was decided by the Authority that the Proponent should be asked to provide the following information/ documents within one month:

- Latest certified copies of the Khasra numbers for which mining lease is granted.
- II. Certificate/ letter issued by Collector, Tikamgarh, mentioning distance of the project area from inter state boundary. The Collector may also be directed to provide the same to the PP.
- 4. Case No. 175/2008 Raghuvir Ferro Alloy Pvt Ltd Industrial Area Urla, Raipur C H Manganese ore mine 8.11 hact 1000TPR at vill-Jagantola Teh-Baihar, Distt.Balaghat

The issue was discussed in 45th SEIAA meeting dtd. 10.01.11 and it has been recorded that "Hence it was decided to write to the concerned DFO asking the distance of mining lease area from the forest boundary. The copy of the letter should be endorsed to the Conservator of Forest and the Project Proponent."

The Authority scrutinized the letter (no. Manchitra/2447, Balaghat dtd. 21.10.2011) received from DFO, North Forest Division, Balaghat and it was found that Khasra no. 9/1 is adjacent to compartment no. 1796 and Khasra no. 8/2 is with in 250 m from compartment no. 1802. The other letter (No. Manchitra/1013, Balaghat dtd. 16.08.2005) written by DFO, North Forest Division, Balaghat to Collector, Balaghat indicates that Khasra No. 8/2, 10/1, 10/2 are within 250 m from the forest compartment boundaries. The scrutiny of the Khasra details revealed that lease has been granted on the private land. No records related to distance of the proposed mining area to the inter state boundary is available in the record.

(Manohar Dubey Member Secretary (M. Hashim) Member

Chairman

Page 3 of 5

It was decided by the Authority that the Proponent should be asked to provide the following information/ documents within one month;

- The NOC from the Committee constituted under PS, Forest (vide number F-5/16/81/10-3, Bhopal dtd. 27.08.08). The letter should be endorsed to PS, Forest, Bhopal.
- ii. Certificate/ letter issued by Collector, Balaghat, mentioning distance of the project area from inter state boundary. The Collector may also be directed to provide the same to PP.

It was also decided to write to the Collector, Balaghat to provide the rule under which mining lease on private land is given to Project Proponent.

 Case No. 203/2008, M/s Dolphin marbles Pvt. Ltd New Bus stand, Katni M.P. Marble mine 6.02 ha. at Kachhargaon, Teh-Bahuriband, Katni M.P.

The issue was discussed in 43rd SEIAA meeting dtd. 10.12.2010 and it has been recorded that "While scrutinizing the documents, it was noticed that the mining lease documents and ownership documents have not been submitted by the Proponent. Similarly a summary EIA report mentioned at Appendix III-A of EIA notification has also not been submitted.

It was decided to send the above case back to SEAC for reconsideration on the above grounds."

The Authority scrutinized EIA summary and found that no afforestation plan has been given in this. However, they have given afforestation plan in the mining plan submitted under rule 16 of marble development and conservation rule, 2002. The Authority found that satisfactory. The Authority examined land ownership record and found it satisfactory.

The authority accepted the recommendation of the SEAC and decided to grant Prior Environmental Clearance for the marble mining.

6. Case No 228/2008, Wonderland Real Estate Pvt Ltd 6th Floor, Treasure Island - II, Tukoganj, Main Road, Indore M.P. Residential Township project at village Rangwasa, Indore.

The issue was discussed in 64th SEIAA meeting dtd. 04.08.11 and it has been recorded that "In view of the office memorandum no. J.11012/5/2010-IA-II (I) dtd. 24.05.11 issued by MoEF, GoI and majority decision of the 60th SEIAA meeting dtd 14-6-11, 16 cases have to be reconsidered according to the instructions issued by the MoEF, GoI relating to the critically polluted areas." This case is related to S. No. 5 of the total 16 cases."

The issue was discussed in 65th SEIAA meeting dtd. 26.08.11 and it has been recorded that "The Authority examined the land ownership records and it was found that there is need for detailed examination of the land ownership records. Therefore the Authority decided that the land ownership record and no. of Khasras given in the Rin Pustika and planning permission letter (vide no. T & CP/ DO/ 2007/LY 113 6527 dtd. 10.10.07) from Town and Country Planning will be examined by SEIAA office and the case will be put up for consideration again before the Authority. "

(Manohar Dubey)
Member Secretary

(**M. Hashim** Member (Amar Singh) Chairman

69th SEIAA Meeting dtd. 05.10.2011

Page 4 of 5

It was examined by the Nodal Officer of the Authority and the scrutiny was considered by the Authority.

The Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to accord Prior EC to the project for the Khasra Numbers indicated in the layout map approved by Town and Country Planning Deptt.(vide no. J773SP-19909 T&CP/09 dtd. 15.10.2009).

7. Case No 251/2008, Balasore Alloys Ltd Balgopalpur, Balasore Orissa Jarah-Motigaon Manganese ore mines 11.766 ha. village Jarah, Mohgaon Teh-Katgangi, Distt-Balaghat M.P.

The issue was discussed in 46th SEIAA meeting dtd. 12.01.11 and it has been recorded that "The Authority while scrutinizing the documents has observed that the Environmen Management Plan, Disaster Management Plan and Environment Monitoring Plan is very generalized. Secondly the SEAC has not expressed their opinion on any such issue. Since SEAC does not exist at present and hence after its reconstitution they should reconsider these cases and send recommendation within 45 days from the date of reconstitution.

It was also observed that the distance of Mining Lease area from forest area is not specified in the available record.

Hence it was decided to write to the concerned DFO, asking the distance of Mining Lease area from the forest boundary upto 15.02.11. The copy of the letter should be endorsed to the Conservator of Forest and the Project Proponent."

The Authority examined disaster management plan and found satisfactory. The Authority also examined the mining lease and ownership record and found that ownership record is not in the name of project proponent.

It was also decided to write to the Collector, Balaghat to provide the rule under which mining lease on private land is given to Project Proponent.

The Proponent should submit the certified letter issued by Collector, Balaghat, mentioning distance of the project area from inter state boundary. The Collector may also be directed to provide the same to PP.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair

(Manohar Dubey) Member Secretary

(**M. Hasˈhim** Member (Amar Singh) Chairman

69th SEIAA Meeting dtd. 05.10.2011

Page 5 of 5