

## Minutes of the 32<sup>nd</sup> SEIAA Meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2010

The 32<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2010 at 10.00 A M at the Authority's office in M.P. Pollution Control Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal chaired by Shri Subroto Banerji, Chairman SEIAA.

The following members attended the meeting:-

1. Shri M. Hashim, Member
2. Smt. Dr. Manoj Govil, Member Secretary

### **(A) Policy Issues**

1. Office memorandum received from MOEF No. J-11013/5/2010-IA.II (I) date 15/03/2010 regarding projects located at critically polluted sites and No. J-11013/77/2004-IA II (I) dated 18/03/2010 regarding accreditation of consultants for conducting EIA study were considered by the Authority. The following decisions were taken:-

- (i) For each case pertaining to Indore district, Collector Indore and Commissioner, Indore Municipal Corporation may be asked to specify whether the project location lies within the area specified in MoEF's Memorandum dated 15/03/2010 or not.
- (ii) Copy of these letters may be sent to SEAC for information.

2. Letter received from Khanij Udhyog Sangh

The Authority took a note of various suggestion made by the Sangh and it was decided to send a reply to their letter.

3. Appointment of Junior Research Fellow

Two posts of Junior Research Fellows were sanctioned in the 27<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> January, 2010. It was decided by the Authority that the qualification for the post shall be M.Sc. Environment Science, as prescribed by EPCO for the post of Project Fellows recruited in that organization from time to time. The salary and allowances shall be as per MoEF's office memorandum. The interview committee shall consist of Nodal Officer, SEIAA, Members Secretary SEAC or his representative and Dr. U.M. Shukla, Senior Research Officer, EPCO, Bhopal.

Sd/-

**(Dr. Manoj Govil)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-

**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-

**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

4. Letter received from Shri J N Tripathi, Satna regarding mining activity without prior environment clearance.

The above letter was put up before the Authority. It was decided that the Distt. Collector may be asked to send a report.

5. A specific time frame to issue/reject Prior Environmental Clearance to a project has been mentioned in the EIA notification.

The Authority observed that in some cases information provided by SEAC is incomplete and it has to correspond directly with various authorities. This takes some time.

Hence it was decided by the Authority that in such cases the time frame to issue/reject a project shall be counted from the date of receipt of the required information by the Authority from the concerned agency/agencies, as only on that date would the available report/recommendation reach a finality.

#### **(B) Cases recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance after reconsideration**

1. Smt. Sangeeta Sharma, C/o Shri Rakesh Sharma, Plot No. 6019, Byramji Town, Nagpur, M.H, Manganese core- mine 7.114 hect. at Vill-Palaspani, Teh: suncer, Distt-Chhindwara M.P. **Case no. 151/2008.**

This case was sent to SEAC for reconsideration on the grounds of specifying the distance of mining lease area from forest boundary and to verify the revenue record.

While scrutinizing the documents submitted by the project proponent it was observed that the same forest NOC has been resubmitted.

Hence, it was decided to write to DFO, Chhindwara asking for a original Forest Deptt.NOC in the format decided in 27<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting.

Sd/-  
**(Dr.Manoj Govil)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

2. Amarapali Homes Project Pvt. Ltd, C-56/40, Sector-62, Noida-U.P, Township Project Modern city Indore Proposed Township project at vill-Sonwaya, Teh-Mhow, Distt-Indore-M.P, **Case no. 444/2008.**

This case was sent back to SEAC for reconsideration on the ground to specify the source of the tanker water supplier to meet the demand during construction phase and a specific date of completion of Narmada Phase III work.

The case has been sent back by SEAC after reconsideration to the Authority. But since this project is proposed at Indore hence it was decided by the Authority to take a decision after getting a communication from Collector, Indore and Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Indore as decided in (A) Policy issues point 1 on page no.1.

3. Hemashri Agro Projects Ltd, Hyderabad, 20 mw Biomass based power plant 5.829 ha. village Magrora Teh. Dabra, Gwalior - M.P, **Case no.34/2008.**

This case was sent back to SEAC for reconsideration and after obtaining the Forest Deptt. NOC, SEAC has again recommended the case to the Authority after obtaining a copy of Forest Deptt. NOC from the project proponent, which is a mere photo copy.

The Authority decided to write to the project proponent asking for the original/attested copy of the Forest Deptt.NOC in the format decided in 27<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA.

### **(C) Cases returned by SEAC in view of amended EIA notification dated 01/12/09**

1. Jain Energy Ltd, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Premlata, 39, Shakespeare, Sarani, Kotkata- 700 001, 10 MW Biomass based power project at village Sanver, Teh- Sonkachh Distt- Dewas M.P. **Case no.222/2008.**

This case was returned back by the SEAC to the Authority in light of the latest amendment to EIA notification giving exemption to Biomass project having capacity less than 15 MW.

Sd/-  
**(Dr.Manoj Govil)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

The Authority decided that the project proponent should be asked to furnish a declaration to the Authority, regarding the type and quantum of auxiliary fuel proposed to be used in the above project.

2. M/s S.P. Metal Industries, Plot no. 11, Industrial area, Banmore, A.B. Road, Distt- Morena-M.P., Small scale lead processing unit Bio-mass, Distt- Morena-M.P., **Case no.480/2009.**

This case was returned back by the SEAC to the Authority in light of the latest amendment to EIA notification giving exemption to Biomass project having capacity less than 15 MW.

The Authority decided that the project proponent should be asked to furnish a declaration to the Authority, regarding the type and quantum of auxiliary fuel proposed to be used in the above project.

3. Shri B V Reddy Director, Betul Non-Conventional Energy Pvt Ltd, E-6/63, Arera colony, Bhopal-M.P, 10 MW Biomass based power plant, Mandavi Near Sehra, Ather Road, Betul-M.P., **Case no.297/2009.**

This case was returned back by the SEAC to the Authority in light of the latest amendment to EIA notification giving exemption to Biomass projects having capacity less than 15 MW.

The Authority decided that the project proponent should be asked to furnish a declaration to the Authority, regarding the type and quantum of auxiliary fuel proposed to be used in the above project.

4. M/s Rajshree Power Ispat Pvt Ltd. Ist Floor Reena Apartment NH-43, Pachpedi naka, Raipur (CG), 10 MW Biomass based power project at Vill- Kherkhedi, The- & Distt- Shajapur-M.P., **Case no.445/2009.**

This case was returned back by the SEAC to the Authority in light of the latest amendment to EIA notification giving exemption to Biomass project having capacity less than 15 MW.

Sd/-  
**(Dr. Manoj Govil)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman

The Authority decided that the project proponent should be asked to furnish a declaration to the Authority, regarding the type and quantum of auxiliary fuel proposed to be used in the above project.

**(D) Other Cases**

1. Maqbool Hussain Stone Slab supply Co. Model ground, Hamidia Road, Bhopal M.P., Kanpohra flag stone quarry, 44.265 hact. village- Kanpohra, Teh. Riasen, Distt-Raisen M.P. **Case no.254/2008.**

It was decided in 29th SEIAA meeting to write to the Distt. Collector to verify the Revenue Record. A reply from the Collector, Raisen has been received along with Khasra map. In the map attached with the letter, it is specified that a distance of 250 m from the forest boundary as marked in green, shall not be mined.

It was decided by the Authority to accord Prior Environmental Clearance to the above project on the basis of SEAC's recommendations and the Collector's reply enclosing the map. The map shall be a part of the EC letter.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

Sd/-  
**(Dr. Manoj Govil)**  
Member Secretary

Sd/-  
**(M. Hashim)**  
Member

Sd/-  
**(Subroto Banerji)**  
Chairman