

## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012

The 109<sup>th</sup> meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was convened on 08.10.2012 at 10.30 AM at the Authority's Office in M. P. Pollution Control Board Building, Paryavaran Parisar, Bhopal. The meeting was chaired by Shri Amar Singh, Chairman, SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting:-

- 1 Shri M. Hashim Member
- 2 Shri Manohar Dubey Member Secretary

1. Following cases received from SEAC have been considered and the details of the receipt of the recommendations & record from the office of SEAC is as follows:

S No	Case No.	No. & date of latest SEAC meeting in which case was recommended	Date of receipt of SEAC minutes in SEIAA	Date of receipt of records in SEIAA office
1.	683/2012	101 <sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.2012	07.09.12	07.09.12
2.	703/2012	101 <sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.2012	07.09.12	07.09.12
3.	237/2008	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12
4.	258/2009	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12
5.	474/2009	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12
6.	496/2010	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12
7.	667/2012	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12
8.	678/2012	103 <sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd. 12.09.2012	14.09.12	21.09.12

2. **Case No. 683/2012**, Prior Environmental Clearance for "Sumer Saffaron Homes" at Khasra No. 1487/1 & 1487/2, Town Indore, Tehsil Indore & District Indore total land area 41,600 sqmt., built up area 83200 sqmt. by M/s Sumer Builders Pvt. Ltd., Gorani Compound, Near RTO, Opp. Lokmanya Nagar, Kesar Bagh Road, Indore.


i. The case was discussed in 101<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.12 and it is recorded that ".....After examination of the submitted response of PP and based on earlier submissions and presentations made by the PP SEAC is of the opinion that the EMP and other submission are satisfactory and acceptable hence the case may be granted prior EC subject to the 8 special conditions."

ii. The case was discussed in depth and it was noted that :-


- a. PP has submitted Khasra Panchsala for Khasra nos. 1487/1 & 1487/2 (year 2005-2006) which is not the latest. The name of land owner in Khasra Panchsala submitted by him is "Shri Narendra S/o Shri Ram Gorani". PP should submit notarized copy of latest Khasra Panchsala.
- b. Development agreement has been made between Shri Narendra S/o Shri Ram Gorani and M/s Sumer Builders Pvt. Ltd. through director Shri Manikchand H. Lunkar. However, as per the policy decision taken in 101<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 27.07.12 the PP should submit notarized copy of the registered agreement with the land owners.
- c. The high rise building permission and map approved by T & CP vide letter no.6125/Na.Gra.Ni./326/08 dtd 03.11.08 is old and its validity has expired on 02.11.11. PP should submit new permission and map approved by T & CP in accordance with Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam, 2012.
- d. PP has submitted building permission issued vide letter no. 24022 dtd 12.10.09. In view of point (c) above, PP should also submit new building permission from Nagar Nigam, Indore along with approved maps and details of floor wise built up area, no.of towers, parking area etc.

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

15.10.12

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 1 of 8

- e. The height of the building is 46.95 m (high rise) PP should submit details of width of all road w.r.t height of the building, MOS and other details as per the sub rule 2 of Rule 42 of Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012 as has been prescribed in Table no. 5. PP should also submit the details of parking as per Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.
- f. It is noted that the total water requirement is 751 KLD (554 KLD for residential 197 KLD for mall). The fresh water requirement is 520 KLD and recycled water is 387 KLD. As recommended by SEAC (101<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.12) the total fresh water demand should not exceed 751 KLD. PP has submitted copy of application (dtd 26.03.12 & 19.03.12) for permission of 301 KLD ground water and 450 KLD municipal supply. PP is directed to seek permission for total water requirement of 751 KLD from Municipal Corporation, Indore and there should be no extraction of ground water.

Further, since PP has been asked to submit renewed T & CP permission, if there is any change in water requirement then PP should submit fresh commitment from Municipal Corporation, Indore for supply of that quantity of fresh water.

- g. Regarding NOC for fire fighting it was noted that PP has submitted NOC letter issued by पुलिस महानिरीक्षक, इंदौर (letter no./ पुननि/ असक्षे/ एनओसी/56-जी. dtd 29.06.10). PP is directed to submit NOC from Nagar Nigam, Indore for fire fighting and distance of nearest fire station as per office memo (no. 21-270/2008-IA-III dtd 07.02.12) of MoEF, Gol.

- h. In addition to residential blocks project includes development of Mall (commercial). Hence, PP should submit information as per rule 41 of MP Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.

iii. It was decided that PP should submit all the above information latest by 15 January, 2013.


**3. Case no. 703/2012** Prior Environmental Clearance for Residential project at Khasara No. 429/430/1, 400/2, 401/2, 431/1/2, 431/1/3, total land area 4.72 acres, total built up area 50025.75 sqmt. village Bawdia Kalan, Tehsil- Huzur, Bhopal (M.P.) by M/s Opal Developers Shalimar house, 6 Malviya Nagar, Rajbhawan Road-Bhopal-M.P.


- i. The case was discussed in 101<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.12 and it is recorded that ".....PP has submitted a satisfactory reply to the above queries along with the supporting documents. Other submissions made by the PP including the EMP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable hence committee decided to recommended the case for grant of prior EC subject to 8 special conditions."

ii. The case was examined and it is found that :-

- a. The title of the project is given as *Opal Developers* in Form-1 while in conceptual plan it is given as *Shalimar 7 Gardens of Opal Developers*. It is registered under the company Act. PP should submit clear title of the project, company's name and names of Members of the Board of Directors.
- b. As per the Khasra Panchsala (2009-2010) submitted by PP the project includes 4.72 acres of land (Khasra no. 400/2, 401/2, 429/ 430/1, 431/1/2 & 431/1/3). The land owner is M/s Opal Developers partner Adarsh Malhotra S/o Shri S. Malhotra. PP should submit notarized copy of registered agreement as

  
(Manonar Dubey)  
Member Secretary  
109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman  
Page 2 of 8

per policy decision taken in 101<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 27.07.12 with the owners of these land.

- c. PP has submitted permission for high rise building (T & CP letter no. 593/LP 213 dtd 18.12.07 & Nagar Nigam, Bhopal endt. No. 2411 dtd 06.10.07). PP should also submit notarized copy of the planning permission and approved map from T & CP in accordance with the MP Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.
- d. PP has not submitted building permission & maps. Hence, PP should submit the notarized copy of building permission and maps duly approved by Nagar Nigam, Bhopal consistent with the provision of sub Para 'c' along with details of no. of towers, floors, floors wise built up area parking area etc.
- e. The total water requirement is 310 KLD (fresh water 206 KLD and recycled water 104 KLD). As per recommendation of 101<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 22.08.12 the fresh water requirement should not exceed 206 KLD and total water demand should not exceed 310 KLD for which BMC has given permission (vide letter no. 6/CE/WWD/BMC/2012 dtd 01.08.12) under the condition that PP will have to take legal bulk connection from the BMC overhead tank or its distribution pipe line and PP shall be responsible to lay the pipeline from this point to the storage tank with approved water meter at his cost and risk. PP is directed that total demand of water should be met through municipal supply of Bhopal Municipal Corporation and there should be no extraction of ground water.
- f. The height of the building is stilt + 40 m hence the PP should submit full details of all road width, MOS etc. as per sub rule 2 of rule 42 of MP Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012 as has been prescribed in Table no. 5. PP should also submit the details of parking as per Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.
- g. The fire fighting NOC issued to PP vide letter no. 04 / Agani.V. /12 dtd 18.05.12 is satisfactory however; PP should also submit distance of nearest fire station as per office memorandum (no. 21-270/2008-IA-III dtd 07.02.12) of MoEF, Gol.

iii. Other details shall be examined by Authority after submission of planning permission by T & CP and building permission by Nagar Nigam, Bhopal.

iv. It was decided that PP should submit all the above information latest by 15 January, 2013.

**4. Case No. 237/2008, Prior Environmental Clearances for manganese ore mine in an area of 6.159 ha for production capacity of 3,000 TPA at village Jarah Mohgaon, Tehsil Katangi, District Balaghat, MP by M/s Jaipuriya Leo Software & Systems (P) Ltd., Nagpur.**


- i. The case was discussed in 102nd SEIAA meeting dtd. 08.08.12 and it has been recorded that .....


*"The case was considered in the light of the representation and documents given by the PP (vide letter nos. nil dtd 04.05.12, 11.05.12 & 13.08.12). The Authority decided to cancel its earlier decision of 44<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 14.12.10 on this issue.*

*The case was earlier discussed in 41<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 29.07.2010 and it has been recorded that "The Authority while scrutinizing the documents observed that the location of the mine is very close to the school building and the road passing by. It was also raised during the public hearing*

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 3 of 8

## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012

that some of the houses are located very close to the mining area. The Addl. Distt. Magistrate mentioned in the Public Hearing report that the Expert Committee should look in to the matter and find some specific solution to the above issue. But no such solution has been proposed by SEAC. Hence SEIAA decided not to accept the recommendation of SEAC and reject the above case.

It was decided that the above representation along with subsequent submission by PP sent to SEAC for their re-examination on the issue raised in 41st meeting of the SEIAA."

Thereafter the case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and it is recorded that.... "after re-examining the case the committee decided that its earlier recommendation remain the same".

- ii. The issues raised during the public hearing regarding location of the school building near the mine, possibility of damage due to blasting and the representation made by the PP vide L.No. EC/273/2008, dated 04.05.2012 Para No. 3 (iv) were discussed in depth. It was noted that the PP has mentioned.... "The distance from the school and topography of the site suggest that there is no danger to school activity in any way as the school lies on the periphery of the village on the right side of the road whereas the mine is approximately 300 mtr. further on the left side of the road. As per Metalliferous Mines Regulation 1961, area falling beyond the 300 mtr. radius from place of blasting is out of the Danger Zone."

The relevant portion (Para 164-1A(b)) of the Metalliferous Mines Regulation 1961 as highlighted by the PP is quoted here under

"164. Taking shelter etc. – 1[(1) The blaster shall, before a shot is charged, stemmed or fired, see that all persons other than his assistants, if any, in the vicinity, have taken proper shelter and he shall also take suitable steps to prevent any person approaching the shot and shall himself take adequate shelter, along with his assistants if any, before firing the shots.

(1-A) in the case of an opencast working the blaster shall not charge or fire a shot

(b) Unless sufficient warning, by efficient signals or other means approved by the manager, is given over the entire area falling within a radius of 300 meters from the place of firing (hereinafter referred to as the Danger Zone) and also he has ensured that all persons within such area have taken proper shelter."

- iii. In context of the above regulation framed under section 57 of Mines, Act 1952, details of which were not available during the discussion it was decided that MP-SEIAA office will put up the case along with the Mines Act, 1952 and the Regulations framed under this Act in 1961, in the first meeting of SEIAA to be held in the month of November, 2012. The concerned officer dealing with the case shall prepare note on this issue for discussion.


### 5. Case no. 258/2009, Prior Environmental Clearance for Manganese Ore Mine in area of 9.105 ha for production capacity 3000 TPA at Village Punia Tehsil Katangi District – Balaghat (MP) by M/s Vinod Traders, R.L House Behind New Bus Stand Ind. Area Dhamtari- 493773 (C.G).

- i. The case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and it is recorded that... "PP has submitted the response to the above queries along with the supporting documents. Based on the submissions and presentation made by the PP it was concluded that the EIA, EMP, DMP proposed for the project are acceptable and satisfactory hence the case may be forwarded to SEIAA recommending grant of prior EC to the project subject to the following 5 special conditions".

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 4 of 8


## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012

- ii. The case was discussed in depth and it was noted that the inter-state boundary, National Park/Sanctuary are not located within 10 Km. radius therefore, General Condition is not attracted.
  - iii. The minutes of the public hearing held on 09.01.2012 at Mining Parisar were examined and nothing adverse was found.
  - iv. The proposed plantation program in EIA/EMP was examined and found satisfactory.
  - v. The authority accepted the recommendation of 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and decided to accord Prior Environmental Clearance for Manganese Ore Mine in area of 9.105 ha for production capacity 3000 TPA. The lease is for a period of 20 years w.e.f. 20.05.2011. However the anticipated life of the mine is 9 years as per the approved mining plan, vide no. BGT/MN/MPLN-1000/NGP dtd 04.11.08 hence the prior EC shall be valid for a period of 9 years only at present.
6. **Case no. 474/2009**, Prior Environmental Clearance for Limestone mine in area of 7.065 ha for production capacity expansion from 4000 TPA to 1.00 Lac TPA at village –Harraiya, tehsil- Vijayraghoharh dist- Katni (MP) by M/s Sukhdeo Prasad Geonka Station Road, Katni (MP).
- i. The case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and it is recorded that... *"PP has submitted the response to the above queries along with the supporting documents. Based on the submissions and presentation made by the PP it was concluded that the EIA, EMP, DMP proposed for the project are acceptable and satisfactory hence the case may be forwarded to SEIAA recommending grant of prior EC to the project subject to the following 7 special conditions"*.
  - ii. The Hon'ble High Court Jabalpur in its order passed on 14.08.2008 in the WP 1574/2008 has mentioned that for mining lease areas of 5 ha or more clearance under the notification dated 14.09.2006 will have to be obtained either from the Central Government or from the State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA).  
  
The PP has not produced or mentioned details if it had applied to seek prior EC for the production capacity 4000 TPA in pursuance of the mining lease dated 17.01.1989 and which remained in operation for a period of 20 years. He should submit details and clarifications if any on this issue.
  - iii. It was also noted that the PP has applied for renewal of the lease in Form-J on 16.08.2005. The application under the consideration is to raise the production capacity to 1.00 Lac TPA. The PP has not submitted renewed lease also. According to him he applied for renewal in Form-J on 16.08.05. The Deputy Director (Mining-Adm.) Collector Office Katni vide letter No. 25/Mining Adm./2005/Renewal dated 16.08.2005 has asked PP to submit missing information which were lacking with Form-J. It is not clear whether the PP has responded to the Deputy Director's letter or not. It was decided that PP should be asked to provide the notarized copy of the renewed lease deed.
  - iv. It was decided that PP should be asked to submit the information by 15.12.12.
7. **Case no. 496/2010**, Prior Environmental Clearance for Laterite Mine in area of 10.28 ha for production capacity 60750 TPA at Village Guradia Deda Tehsil & District –

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman  
15.10.12

Page 5 of 8

## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012

Mandsaur (MP) by M/s Shri Shakeel Ahemad Khan, S/O Shri Abdul Aziz Khan, Mitha Ramji ka Kheda Post Office Colony, Pratap Nagar Chhitorgarh (Rajasthan)

- i. The case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and it is recorded that "PP has submitted the response to the above queries along with the supporting documents. Based on the submissions and presentation made by the PP it was concluded that the EIA, EMP, DMP proposed for the project are acceptable and satisfactory hence the case may be forwarded to SEIAA recommending grant of prior EC to the project subject to the following 4 special conditions".
- ii. The case was discussed in depth and it was noted that the inter-state boundary, National Park/Sanctuary are not located within 10 Km. radius, therefore, General Condition is not attracted.
- iii. While discussing the revenue report it was decided as **policy decision** that Khasra Panchsala is not required in the case of mining projects because the survey Nos. of the concerned land are already entered into the mining lease deed and scrutiny of ownership of such survey numbers is not required. However, it was decided that specific condition should be stipulated in the Prior EC letter that if the land belongs to the tribal person the Collector shall ensure that the tribal person gets compensation as per rule 72 of the Mineral Concession Rule 1960 and his interests are safeguarded as per State Policy.
- iv. The minutes of the public hearing held on 15.06.2011 at Mining Parisar were examined and nothing adverse was found.
- v. The proposed plantation program in EIA/EMP was examined and found satisfactory.
- vi. The authority accepted the recommendation of 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 12.09.2012 and decided to accord Prior Environmental Clearance for Laterite Mine in area of 10.28 ha for production capacity 60750 TPA for mining lease period i.e. up to 30.12.2029 at Village Guradia Deda Tehsil & District – Mandsaur (MP) by M/s Shakeel Ahemad Khan S/o Shri Abdul Aziz Khan, Mitha Ramji ka Kheda Post Office Colony, Pratap Nagar Chhitorgarh (Rajasthan). The prior EC shall remain in force till 30.12.2029, the end of lease period.


8. **Case no. 667/2012**, Prior Environmental Clearance for Building Construction "Prabhatam Heights" Group Housing project at Village- Hatai Khera, Raisen Road, Bhopal (M.P.) Plot Area- 86,682.94 m<sup>2</sup> Built - up Area- 1,26,942.49 m<sup>2</sup> by Mr. Dinesh Gupta, M.D., M/s Prabhatam Infrastructure Ltd. Rastriya Tower, 38, Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi- 55

- i. The case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 12.09.12 and it is recorded that "PP has submitted response to the above issues along with the supporting documents. Scrutiny of documents revealed that the requisite information is up to the mark. The submission made by the PP including the proposed EMP in the project were found to be satisfactory and acceptable. Hence committee decided to recommended the project for grant of prior EC subject to 12 special conditions."
- ii. The case was examined and it was noted that :-
  - a. The land proposed by PP is 8.66 ha and Khasras are as mentioned in annex-I of Form-1. The Khasra Panchsala submitted for these Khasra is for the year 2005-2006. PP is directed to submit the notarized copy of latest Khasra Panchsala of the Khasras included in the project.

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 6 of 8

## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012

- b. Further as per policy decision taken in 101<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 27.07.12 PP should submit notarized copy of registered agreement between PP and owners of the land, included in the project.
- c. The PP has submitted permission from T & CP issued vide letter no. 324 dtd 26.02.11 for high rise building construction. PP is directed to submit the details of width of the internal road w.r.t height of the building, MOS, as per sub rule 2 of Rule 42 of Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012 as has been prescribed in the Table 4 or 5 applicable to the PP. PP should also submit the details of parking as per Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.
- d. The building permission no. NC 5913-555-72011 dtd 05.07.11 issued by Nagar Nigam has been submitted by PP. However it is noted that PP has not submitted the maps approved by Nagar Nigam along with the permission. PP is directed to submit the same along with the details of no. of towers, no. of floors, built up area for each floor, height, parking etc.
- e. The total water requirement is 1322 KLD (fresh water 791 KLD and recycled water 533 KLD). As per recommendation of 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 12.09.12 the fresh water requirement shall not exceed 1322 KLD for which BMC has given permission (vide letter no. 287/PM/JNNURM/WS/2012 dtd 17.03.12) under the condition that water supply shall be available after the project completion and PP will have to take legal bulk connection from the BMC overhead tank or its distribution pipe line. PP shall be responsible to lay the pipeline from this point at his cost and risk. PP is directed that total demand of water should be met through municipal supply and there should be no extraction of ground water.
- f. PP has submitted the NOC for fire fighting issued vide letter no. 97/Fa. Brigade / 08 dtd 29.03.08 from office of Nagar Nigam, Bhopal. Since NOC is quite old PP should submit latest NOC as per office memorandum (no. 21-270/2008-IA.III dtd 07.02.2012) of MoEF, Gol. The distance of nearest fire fighting station is 8.5 km as per Nagar Nigam L. No. Q/Ma.VI/12 dtd 14.05.12 and this is within prescribed limit.
- g. In addition to residential blocks project includes development of shops (commercial). Hence, PP should submit details of information as per rule 41 of MP Bhumi Vikas Niyam, 2012


iii. It was decided that PP should submit all the above information by 15<sup>th</sup> December 2012.


9. **Case no. 678/2012**, Prior Environmental Clearance for Keshar Infrastructures, Keshar Bagh, Race Course Road, Gwalior, Survey No. 2 to 12, 16, 17, 18/1, 18/2, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23/2, 24, 25, 26, 27, 364/8 at Village – Thatipur, Tehsil – Gwalior, Distt. – Gwalior (M.P.) Total Land Area – 19970 sq. mt. , Total Built Up Area – 51444.46 sq.mt. by Keshar Infrastructures through Shri Virendra Kumar Gangwal & Others Gangwal Mills Compound, Near Phalka Bazar, Lashkar, Gwalior – (M.P.) 474 001

- i. The case was discussed in 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 12.09.12 and it is recorded that ".....Based on the submissions and presentation made by the PP it was concluded that the EIA, EMP, DMP proposed for the project are acceptable and satisfactory hence the case may be

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 7 of 8

## Minutes of the 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2012


forwarded to SEIAA recommending grant of prior EC to the project subject to the 11 special conditions."

ii. The case was examined and it was found that :-


- a. The project includes total 26 Khasras. The Khasra Panchsala submitted by PP for the above referred Khasras for the years 2007 to 2011. It was decided to ask PP to submit latest Khasra Panchsala for S. Nos. in respect which has submitted Khasra Panchsala of years prior to 2011.
- b. The land is in the name of different owners. A copy of partnership deed has been submitted by PP. As per policy decision taken in 101<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 27.07.12 PP should submit notarized copy of registered agreement between PP and owners of the land.
- c. The permission from T & CP, Gwalior issued vide letter no. 272 / 03947/Na.Gra.Ni./2012 dtd 27.01.12 for high rise building construction and map was found satisfactory. Since this permission is based on Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam, 1984, PP is directed to submit the details of width of the internal road w.r.t height of the building, MOS etc., as per sub rule 2 of Rule 42 of Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012 in Table 4 or 5 applicable to him. The PP should also submit the details of parking as per Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Niyam 2012.
- d. PP has not submitted building permission & approved maps. PP is directed to submit notarized copy of the building permission and maps duly approved by Nagar Nigam, Gwalior along with the details of no. of towers, no. of floors, built up area for each floor, height, parking etc.
- e. The total water requirement is 294 KLD (fresh water 182 KLD and recycled water 112 KLD). As per recommendation of 103<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dtd 12.09.12 the fresh water requirement should not exceed 294 KLD. Nagar Nigam, Gwalior has given permission for 300 KLD (vide letter no. 104/तक/का.यं.ज.प्र.सं ख-1/2012 dtd 26.07.12) under the condition that PP shall bear the cost of laying the pipeline from main water supply pipe line near Hotel Sita Manor to their project site. Thereafter water connection shall be given on commercial rates. PP is directed that total demand of water should be met through municipal supply and there should be no extraction of ground water.
- f. PP has submitted the NOC for fire fighting (issued vide letter no. 21/2012/4/11/Fire113 dtd 29.03.12) from office of the Commissioner Nagar Nigam, Gwalior. The distance of nearest fire fighting station is 1.5 km as per Nagar Nigam, Gwalior (L. No. 1/2012 / 4/ 11Fire dtd 27.08.12) which is within limit as per office memorandum (no. 21-270/2008-IA.III dtd 07.02.2012) of MoEF, Gol.
- h. In addition to residential blocks project includes development of commercial blocks hence, PP should submit required information as per rule 41 of MP Bhumi Vikas Niyam, 2012.

iv. It was decided that PP should submit all the above information by 15 December 2012.


*Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.*

  
(Manohar Dubey)  
Member Secretary

109<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dtd. 08.10.2012

  
(M. Hashim)  
Member

13.10.12

  
(Amar Singh)  
Chairman

Page 8 of 8